

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
)
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING))
COMMITTEE MEETING)
_____))
 —

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, JULY 16, 1997
 9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM
 8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR
 CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 40110

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN
MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

	PAGE_NO.
CALL TO ORDER AND EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	8
ITEM 1: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LIVERMORE, ALAMEDA COUNTY	
STAFF PRESENTATION	
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	23
CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA:	23
ITEM 2: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY DISAPPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF PIEDMONT, ALAMEDA COUNTY.	
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CALIPATRIA, COUNTY OF IMPERIAL.	
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL CENTRO, COUNTY OF IMPERIAL.	
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF IMPERIAL, COUNTY OF IMPERIAL	
ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE MULTIJURISDICTIONAL NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED LASSEN COUNTY AND THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE.	

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF AZUSA, LOS
ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CALABASAS,
LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MALIBU,
LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ROLLING
HILLS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SANTA
MONICA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
GREENFIELD, MONTEREY COUNTY.

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
ELEMENT FOR THE UPPER VALLEY WASTE MANAGEMENT
AGENCY, NAPA COUNTY.

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE
SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN, AND COUNTYWIDE
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR NAPA COUNTY.

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LAGUNA BEACH, ORANGE
COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
ELEMENT FOR THE TOWN OF LINCOLN, PLACER COUNTY.

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BEAUMONT, RIVERSIDE
COUNTY.

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF TEMECULA, RIVERSIDE
COUNTY.

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED RIVERSIDE COUNTY

ITEM 22: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BIG BEAR
LAKE, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LOMA
LINDA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF NEEDLES,
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA, SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF 29 PALMS,
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 27: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF YUCAIPA, SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 28: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE TOWN OF YUCCA
VALLEY, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 29: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LA MESA, SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

ITEM 30: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
SANTA BARBARA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA.

ITEM 31: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR
TONNAGE AND 1995 AND 2000 PROJECTIONS FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MORGAN HILL, SANTA CLARA
COUNTY.

ITEM 32: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE YEAR
DISPOSAL TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED
SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
CITY OF SUNNYVALE, SANTA CLARA COUNTY.

ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON
THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF UNION CITY, ALAMEDA
COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	26
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	29
ACTION	30

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MALIBU, LOS
ANGELES COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	31
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	33

ITEM 33: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

STAFF PRESENTATION	33
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	38
ACTION	42

ITEM 34: STATUS REPORT ON COMMENTS RECEIVED ON
THE DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.

STAFF PRESENTATION	42
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	45
ACTION	

ITEM 35: PRESENTATION OF PILOT BUSINESS WASTE
REDUCTION PROGRAM RESULTS.

STAFF PRESENTATION	50
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	57
ACTION	63

ITEM 36: UPDATE ON CALCULATION OF THE 1996 RPPC
PETE AND ALL-CONTAINER RECYCLING RATES.

STAFF PRESENTATION	63
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	69
DISCUSSION	67, 74
ACTION	

ITEM 37: OPEN DISCUSSION:

REPORT FROM DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION	9
--	---

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

REPORT OF WASTE PREVENTION	18
ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION	
AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION	
ITEM 38: ADJOURNMENT	76

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JULY 16, 1997
2 9:30 A.M.
3
4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
5 THE MEETING OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
6 BOARD'S LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE.
7 WELCOME. WE WILL BEGIN BY CALLING THE ROLL.
8 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.
9 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.
10 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
11 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.
12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.
13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE. EVERYBODY IS
14 PRESENT.
15 DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY EX PARTES TO
16 REPORT?
17 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.
18 MEMBER FRAZEE: NONE THIS MORNING.
19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE HAD A COUPLE OF
20 MISCUES ON THIS MORNING'S AGENDA, SO I'M GOING TO
21 EXPLAIN A FEW LITTLE UNUSUAL PROCEDURES WE'RE
22 GOING TO TRY TO DO TO MAKE THINGS WORK AS CLOSE TO
23 NORMAL AS POSSIBLE.
24 FIRST, THE DEPUTY DIRECTORS' REPORTS
25 WERE NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, SO WE'RE GOING TO

1 TAKE THOSE UNDER OPEN DISCUSSION, WHICH WE WILL
2 TAKE RIGHT UP FRONT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
3 AGENDA.

4 ALSO, THE PROPOSED CONSENT AGENDA,
5 WHICH IS AVAILABLE AT THE BACK OF THE ROOM, WAS
6 NOT LISTED AS THE CONSENT AGENDA ON OUR AGENDA.
7 SO THE WAY WE'RE GOING TO HANDLE THAT WILL BE FOR
8 US TO HEAR THE FIRST ITEM THAT'S ON CONSENT AGENDA
9 AND THEN MAKE ANOTHER MOTION ON THE REMAINDER OF
10 IT AND SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL AS A WAY TO
11 EXPEDITE GETTING THOSE THINGS ONTO THE BOARD'S
12 CONSENT AGENDA AND NOT SPENDING A LOT OF TIME ON
13 THEM.

14 IS THAT UNDERSTANDABLE? OKAY. IF
15 SO, WE WILL MOVE TO OUR FIRST ITEM, ITEM 37, WHICH
16 IS OPEN DISCUSSION. AND I'LL ASK JUDY FRIEDMAN,
17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND
18 LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION TO PROVIDE US WITH HER
19 MONTHLY REPORT.

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING,
21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. AND THIS
22 IS AN UPDATE ON SOME OF THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF
23 THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE
24 DIVISION.

25 FIRST, INFORMATION ON LOCAL PLANS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE HAVE ELEMENTS OF 33 JURISDICTIONS ON TODAY'S
2 AGENDA, AND THAT REPRESENTS 23 SRRE'S, 14 HHWE'S,
3 5 NDFE'S, 1 SUMMARY PLAN, 1 SITING ELEMENT, AND 1
4 CWIMP.

5 STAFF PREPARED A PETITION FOR ALPINE
6 COUNTY TO REQUEST ELIMINATION OF THE SUMMARY PLAN
7 AND A REDUCED SITING ELEMENT. STAFF ALSO PREPARED
8 A TIME LINE SHOWING WHEN TASKS NEEDED TO BE
9 COMPLETED. BOTH DOCUMENTS WERE MAILED TO ALPINE
10 COUNTY FOR CONSIDERATION. ALPINE COUNTY WAS
11 EXPECTED TO SUBMIT THE PETITION TO THE BOARD THIS
12 MONTH, BUT HAS BEEN DELAYED.

13 ON JULY 7TH I MET WITH THE COUNTY
14 AND SENATOR LESLIE'S OFFICE TO DISCUSS OUR
15 ASSISTANCE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE SENATOR'S
16 BILL TO EXEMPT ALPINE FROM THE NEED TO PREPARE A
17 SITING ELEMENT. DURING THAT MEETING I REITERATED
18 OUR GOAL TO BRING ALPINE COUNTY INTO COMPLIANCE BY
19 THE END OF THIS YEAR THROUGH OUR ASSISTANCE WHICH
20 WOULD OBVIATE THE NEED FOR THE BILL, AND THE
21 MEETING WENT VERY WELL.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GREAT.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: STAFF PUBLISHED AND MAILED
24 THE JUNE EDITION OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
25 ASSISTANCE'S INFOCYCLING NEWSLETTER TO ALL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 JURISDICTION CONTACTS AND INTERESTED PARTIES. SO
2 HOPEFULLY YOU'VE GOTTEN YOUR COPIES OF THAT, AND
3 IT'S ALSO ON THE BOARD NET.

4 STAFF IS WORKING WITH THE GOVERNOR'S
5 OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES PLANS UNIT TO
6 INCORPORATE POLICY LANGUAGE INTO THE STATE
7 EMERGENCY PLAN, ENCOURAGING DIVERSION OF DEBRIS
8 GENERATED AFTER A DISASTER. AND ALSO, STAFF HAVE
9 BEEN REQUESTED TO GIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE BOARD'S
10 DISASTER DEBRIS MANAGEMENT PLAN AT THE NEXT
11 STATEWIDE EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING
12 CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR SEPTEMBER 17TH IN
13 SACRAMENTO.

14 STAFF IS COMMENCING A PROCESS FOR
15 NONSUBMITTED HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENTS
16 AND SITING ELEMENTS AND SUMMARY PLAN DOCUMENTS
17 SIMILAR TO THE EFFORT JUST MADE FOR NONSUBMITTED
18 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENTS AND
19 NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENTS

20 LETTERS WILL BE SENT TO THE
21 APPROPRIATE JURISDICTIONS REQUESTING EITHER THE
22 DOCUMENTS OR A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE BE FILED WITH
23 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE WITHIN A SPECIFIED
24 TIME PERIOD. STAFF WILL CONTINUE TO WORK WITH
25 LOCAL REPRESENTATIVES TO OBTAIN MISSING ELEMENTS

1 OR DOCUMENTS AND COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE TIME LINES.

2 SISKIYOU COUNTY HAS REQUESTED BOARD

3 STAFF ASSISTANCE IN DEVELOPING AN ILLEGAL DUMPING

4 ABATEMENT PROGRAM BECAUSE THE COUNTY WILL

5 IMPLEMENT GATE FEES IN JULY OF THIS MONTH. AS

6 JURISDICTIONS CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT GATE FEES AND

7 INCREASE FEES TO FUND DIVERSION PROGRAMS, ILLEGAL

8 DUMPING MAY INCREASE. STAFF WILL BE WORKING WITH

9 THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION AND POLICY

10 OFFICE TO GATHER INFORMATION TO RESPOND TO

11 SISKIYOU COUNTY'S REQUEST, SO IT'S A MULTI-

12 DIVISIONAL TASK HERE.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DO YOU KNOW IF THAT

14 WILL INCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY OF AN APPLICATION FOR

15 FUNDING FOR CLEANUP?

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'M NOT CERTAIN AT THIS

17 TIME, BUT I CAN GET BACK TO YOU ON THAT.

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S ONE OF THE

19 POSSIBILITIES I WOULD --

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: I WOULD ASSUME SO.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THERE'S BEEN SOME

22 INTEREST -- SINCE WE DID IT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

23 IN RESPONSE TO SOME OF THE EARTHQUAKE DEBRIS,

24 THERE'S BEEN SOME INTEREST IN SOME OF THE RURAL

25 COUNTIES WHO HAVE PARTICULAR PROBLEMS THAT ARE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LOOKING AT APPLYING FOR A GRANT, NOT FOR A
2 SPECIFIC LARGE SITE, BUT FOR DISPERSED WASTE. I
3 KNOW IT'S BEEN TALKED ABOUT AT THE POLICY
4 COMMITTEE QUITE A BIT ABOUT HOW WE WOULD RESPOND
5 TO THOSE KINDS OF APPLICATIONS.

6 MS. FRIEDMAN: RIGHT. I IMAGINE UPDATES
7 WILL BE COMING TO THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT
8 COMMITTEE AND/OR POLICY COMMITTEE AS APPROPRIATE.
9 WE'RE JUST OFFERING OUR ASSISTANCE THROUGH OUR
10 CONTACTS WITH THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AS WELL.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GLAD TO SEE THE
12 INTERDIVISIONAL WORK ON IT.

13 MS. FRIEDMAN: FOR RURAL JURISDICTIONS,
14 AS YOU KNOW, THESE KINDS OF ISSUES CONTINUE TO BE
15 THE FOREFRONT OF THEIR CONCERNS.

16 THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL
17 ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETS TODAY AND TOMORROW HERE
18 IN SACRAMENTO. ALONG WITH OTHER AGENDA ITEMS, THE
19 COMMITTEE WILL HAVE A DISCUSSION AS PART OF THE
20 COMMITTEE'S OUTREACH EFFORTS WITH CAREN KEENE OF
21 CSAC ASKING HER TO IDENTIFY ISSUES OF CONCERNS TO
22 COUNTIES.

23 THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS
24 BRANCH STAFF PREPARED WASTE MEASUREMENT
25 INFORMATION, ADJUSTMENT FACTORS, AND MODEL ANNUAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REPORTS AND HAVE PUT THEM ON THE BOARD'S WEBSITE.
2 ANOTHER NEW TOPIC ON THE BOARD'S WEBSITE IS SOLID
3 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION. AND YOU KNOW WE'VE BEEN
4 DEVELOPING THIS FOR SOME TIME, AND NOW IT'S UP ON
5 THE WEBSITE.

6 SO THE SITE INCLUDES A DEMO OF THE
7 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE AND INFORMATION
8 RELATING TO BUSINESS AND RESIDENTIAL WASTE
9 CHARACTERIZATION. AND WE'VE BEEN AT SEVERAL
10 EVENTS RECENTLY WHERE IT'S BEEN VERY POPULAR TO
11 OUR BOOTH, AND FOLKS HAVE COME BY FOR
12 DEMONSTRATION AND HAVE BEEN VERY EAGER TO CONTINUE
13 WORKING ON IT. SO THAT'S PRETTY EXCITING.

14 UPDATE ON PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAM
15 IMPLEMENTATION BRANCH. DURING THE MONTH OF JUNE,
16 29 NEW RECYCLING PROGRAMS WERE ADDED TO STATE
17 OFFICES. AND STAFF MET WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM
18 DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES PROPERTY
19 REUTILIZATION TO DISCUSS THE FEASIBILITY OF THE
20 BOARD TO IMPLEMENT CONTRACTS FOR SCRAP METALS
21 GENERATED AT STATE FACILITIES. THE CONTRACTS WILL
22 BE ADMINISTERED BY THE BOARD, AND ALL REVENUES
23 GENERATED FROM THESE CONTRACTS WOULD GO INTO
24 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PROJECT RECYCLE FUND.

25 UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 HAZARDOUS WASTE. DURING THE MONTH OF JUNE, WE
2 ADDED 32 NEW USED OIL COLLECTION CENTERS, AND WE
3 RECERTIFIED 41 CENTERS. AND THE TOTAL PROGRAM
4 PARTICIPATION AT THIS POINT IS ABOUT NEARLY 3,000
5 PARTICIPANTS. WE'RE AT 2,894. SO THAT'S PRETTY
6 EXCITING.

7 THROUGH A USED OIL BLOCK GRANT,
8 SACRAMENTO COUNTY COORDINATED THE NORTHERN
9 CALIFORNIA USED OIL FORUM IN SACRAMENTO ON JUNE
10 23D. HERE'S THE LITTLE BROCHURE THAT WENT ALONG
11 WITH THAT. STAFF FROM THE USED OIL AND HHW BRANCH
12 HELPED ORGANIZE THE FORUM WHICH BROUGHT TOGETHER
13 MORE THAN 180 REPRESENTATIVES FROM LOCAL
14 GOVERNMENT, CONSULTANTS, CONTRACTORS, AND VENDORS.
15 THE PURPOSE OF THE FORUM WAS AN INTERACTIVE
16 WORKSHOP DESIGNED TO PROVIDE THE TOOLS NECESSARY
17 TO BUILD A STRONGER AND MORE EFFECTIVE USED OIL
18 COLLECTION AND RECYCLING PROGRAM.

19 AND THE FORMAT INCLUDED KEYNOTE
20 SPEAKERS AND BREAKOUT SESSIONS WITH A VARIETY OF
21 TOPICS RANGING FROM TARGETING YOUR PUBLIC
22 OUTREACH, DEVELOPING PERMANENT FACILITIES, AND
23 EVALUATING YOUR PROGRAM FOR SUCCESS, AND ALSO OIL
24 FILTER COLLECTION. THE FORUM WAS A HUGE SUCCESS
25 BASED UPON INPUT RECEIVED FROM PARTICIPANTS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 STAFF ALSO ATTENDED AND HELPED
2 COORDINATE THE NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
3 HHW INFORMATION EXCHANGES IN SOUTH LAKE TAHOE ON
4 JUNE 6TH AND REDONDO BEACH ON JUNE 18TH.
5 AND FINALLY, AS MANY OF YOU ARE
6 AWARE, THE CALIFORNIA SPEEDWAY IS A BRAND NEW RACE
7 TRACK IN FONTANA, WHICH HELD ITS INAUGURAL RACE,
8 THE CALIFORNIA 500, ON JUNE 22D. THE OFFICIAL
9 RACE PROGRAM, WHICH WAS AVAILABLE TO RACE FANS AND
10 SPECTATORS, FEATURED AN AD HIGHLIGHTING THE
11 BOARD'S USED OIL PROGRAM AND THE BENEFITS OF
12 RECYCLING USED MOTOR OIL, HOPING TO GRAB THE
13 ATTENTION OF ALL THE DO-IT-YOURSELF RACE FANS.
14 WE ALSO HAVE THREE INFORMATIONAL
15 KIOSK BILLBOARDS WHICH ARE PLACED AROUND THE
16 SPEEDWAY GROUNDS HIGHLIGHTING THE PROGRAM. IN
17 ADDITION, WE HOPE TO WORK WITH THE LOCALS NEAR THE
18 SPEEDWAY TO POSSIBLY SET UP A BOOTH AT FUTURE
19 RACES.
20 I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU THE AD THAT
21 WAS IN THIS MAGAZINE. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY
22 PRESENTATION.
23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GREAT REPORT, JUDY.
24 THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS
25 AT THIS TIME? APPARENTLY NOT. THANKS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND NEXT I'M GOING TO CALL ON BILL
2 ORR, WHO WILL BE PRESENTING THE WASTE PREVENTION
3 AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION'S REPORT TO THE
4 COMMITTEE FOR THIS MONTH.

5 MR. ORR: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
6 COMMITTEE MEMBERS.

7 THE FIRST THING I'D LIKE TO SHARE
8 WITH YOU ARE A COUPLE OF NEW ITEMS REGARDING THE
9 CALMAX CATALOG THAT I'VE JUST PASSED OUT. THE
10 FIRST THING IS THAT THIS IS -- ACTUALLY REPRESENTS
11 THE FIRST PUBLICATION OF A QUARTERLY ISSUE OF THE
12 CALMAX CATALOG. WE'VE GONE TO QUARTERLY
13 PUBLICATION BOTH FOR COST CUTTING MEASURE AND ALSO
14 TO INCREASE RELIANCE ON CALMAX ON THE INTERNET.

15 IN ADDITION, WHAT MAKES THIS ISSUE
16 NOTEWORTHY IS THAT IT WAS PUBLISHED, WHICH
17 INCLUDES THE DATABASE CONVERSION LAYOUT
18 FORMATTING, INTERACTION WITH THE PRINTER, ETC.,
19 ENTIRELY IN-HOUSE BY CALMAX STAFF. THIS
20 ACCOMPLISHMENT LAYS THE GROUNDWORK FOR FUTURE
21 IN-HOUSE PUBLICATION THAT WILL SIGNIFICANTLY CUT
22 TIME AND BE A MONEY SAVER.

23 ONCE COUPLED WITH THE DATABASE THAT
24 WAS DEVELOPED BY IMB STAFF, CALMAX STAFF WILL HAVE
25 COMPLETE CONTROL OVER THE MANAGEMENT AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DISTRIBUTION OF CALMAX INFORMATION. SO BOTH OF
2 THOSE MILESTONES ARE SIGNIFICANT.

3 SECONDLY, I'D LIKE TO REPORT THAT
4 THE -- WE'VE WRAPPED UP THE 1997 WRAP APPLICATION
5 PERIOD. THE WRAP PROGRAM CLOSED ITS APPLICATION
6 PERIOD ON JUNE 30TH AND IS CURRENTLY CONSUMED BY
7 THE TASK OF SCORING ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS
8 RECEIVED.

9 THIS UNDERTAKING SHOULD BE A BIT
10 EASIER THIS YEAR DUE TO THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE
11 BUSINESSES WHICH SUBMITTED SEPARATE APPLICATIONS
12 FOR EACH FACILITY LAST YEAR TOOK ADVANTAGE OF A
13 NEW APPLICATION CATEGORY WHICH ALLOWS MULTI-
14 FACILITY COMPANIES TO SUBMIT ONE APPLICATION
15 COVERING ALL OF THEIR FACILITIES.

16 MOST NOTABLE AMONG THOSE IS TARGET
17 STORES OF CALIFORNIA, A WRAP OF THE YEAR WINNER
18 LAST YEAR, WHICH SUBMITTED ONE APPLICATION THIS
19 YEAR ON BEHALF OF 136 STORES.

20 STAFF WILL BE BRINGING A LIST OF
21 PROPOSED WINNERS TO THIS COMMITTEE IN AUGUST, AND
22 IT IS OUR INTENTION TO ANNOUNCE THIS YEAR'S WRAP
23 WINNERS TO THE MEDIA DURING POLLUTION PREVENTION
24 WEEK, WHICH IS SEPTEMBER 15TH THROUGH THE 22D.

25 I'M PLEASED TO ANNOUNCE THE ADDITION

1 OF MEL RIES TO THE WASTE PREVENTION SECTION. WE
2 BASICALLY NOW HAVE DOUBLED OUR EFFORTS AS MEL WILL
3 JOIN TREVOR O'SHAUGHNESSY IN PURSUIT OF PREVENTING
4 EVEN MORE YARD WASTE FROM REACHING LANDFILLS.

5 SPECIFICALLY, MEL AND TREVOR WILL
6 FOCUS ON MAXIMIZING GRASSCYCLING BY EXPANDING THE
7 NUMBER OF MOWER INDUSTRY PARTNERS, COMMERCIAL
8 LANDSCAPE INDUSTRY OUTREACH, AND CONTINUE TO
9 IMPROVE ON THE SUCCESS THAT WE'VE HAD WITH THE
10 MAJOR DO-IT-YOURSELF CENTERS STATEWIDE.

11 MEL COMES TO US FROM THE REGULATIONS
12 UNIT, AND HIS STRONG BACKGROUND IN ECONOMICS AND
13 REGULATIONS HAS ALREADY BEEN PUT TO GOOD USE. I'D
14 LIKE TO WELCOME MEL ABOARD. HE'S BACK OUT THERE.

15 I'D ALSO LIKE TO REPORT ON THE
16 PROGRESS ON THE SHIPPING AND DISTRIBUTION
17 PARTNERSHIP WORKSHOP. AS PART OF THE GETTING TO
18 50 PERCENT INITIATIVE, BOARD DIRECTED STAFF TO
19 CONDUCT A WORKSHOP OF ALL INTERESTED STAKEHOLDERS
20 TO IDENTIFY EFFECTIVE APPROACHES FOR IMPROVING
21 SHIPPING AND DISTRIBUTION EFFICIENCY.

22 SINCE MARCH STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING
23 VERY CLOSELY WITH BOARD MEMBER JONES TO BUILD
24 CONSENSUS AMONG STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING THE
25 CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CALIFORNIA RETAILERS ASSOCIATION, THE AMERICAN
2 FOREST AND PAPER ASSOCIATION, THE CALIFORNIA
3 TRUCKING ASSOCIATION, AMONG OTHERS. I'M PLEASED
4 TO ANNOUNCE THAT A WORKSHOP IS SCHEDULED FOR
5 AUGUST -- EXCUSE ME -- FOR OCTOBER 9TH AT SAN JOSE
6 STATE UNIVERSITY, HOME OF THE LARGEST PACKAGING
7 PROGRAM ON THE WEST COAST. AND A MEDIA NOTICE
8 WENT OUT LAST WEEK FOR THE TRADE PRESS.

9 ALL REGISTRANTS FOR THIS WORKSHOP
10 WILL RECEIVE A SURVEY TO ASSESS THEIR INTEREST IN
11 THE EFFICIENCY IN PACKAGING AND DISTRIBUTION.
12 THEIR RESPONSES WILL BE USED TO PROVIDE STAFF WITH
13 INPUT IN STRUCTURING INDUSTRY SESSIONS, WHICH WILL
14 IN TURN PROVIDE VALUABLE INFORMATION IDENTIFYING
15 HOW THE BOARD CAN FACILITATE AN ONGOING PROCESS
16 WITH STAKEHOLDERS. STAFF ARE CONTINUING TO
17 IDENTIFY STAKEHOLDERS AND INCREASING THEIR
18 KNOWLEDGE BASE IN PREPARATION FOR THE WORKSHOP.

19 AND THEN FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO REPORT
20 THAT LAST THURSDAY YARD WASTE PREVENTION STAFF AND
21 A TRAINER FROM THE COMPOST COUNCIL CONDUCTED THE
22 FIRST OF THREE BACKYARD COMPOST PROGRAM WORKSHOPS
23 IN ESCONDIDO. SIXTEEN LOCAL GOVERNMENT
24 REPRESENTATIVES ATTENDED THE CLASS WITH AN EYE
25 TOWARD ESTABLISHING BACKYARD COMPOSTING PROGRAMS

1 IN THEIR COMMUNITIES OR REINVIGORATING EXISTING
2 ONES.

3 THE NEXT TWO WORKSHOPS ARE GOING TO
4 BE IN LOS ANGELES AND FRESNO TO FOLLOW. AS OF
5 JULY 15TH, YESTERDAY, THERE ARE 11 REGISTRANTS FOR
6 TOMORROW'S WORKSHOP IN LOS ANGELES.

7 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT FOR
8 TODAY.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS
10 FOR MR. ORR? THANK YOU VERY MUCH, BILL.

11 SO THE FIRST STEP IN OUR CONSENT
12 PROCESS HERE IS TO CONSIDER AGENDA ITEM 1, WHICH
13 IS THE CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON
14 THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT
15 FOR THE CITY OF LIVERMORE.

16 SINCE IT WAS MEANT TO BE ON CONSENT,
17 CAN WE PROCEED WITH A MOTION OR DOES THE COMMITTEE
18 WANT A STAFF PRESENTATION AT THIS POINT? OKAY. I
19 THINK WE CAN JUST GO AHEAD AND MOVE THE ITEM SINCE
20 IT HAD BEEN INTENDED TO BE ON CONSENT, NOT THAT WE
21 DON'T WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU. THANKS.

22 SO I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO
23 ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND APPROVE THE CITY
24 OF LIVERMORE'S NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT AND
25 FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVED.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

4 SECONDED. CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

5 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

6 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

7 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

8 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. MOTION CARRIES.

11 AND I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO

12 ADOPT ITEMS 2, 4 THROUGH 10, THE HOUSEHOLD

13 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR ITEM 11, AND ITEMS 12

14 THROUGH 32.

15 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

16 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

18 SECONDED. IF THERE IS ANYONE HERE WHO CAME TO

19 ADDRESS ANY OF THOSE ITEMS, PLEASE LET US KNOW.

20 WE WILL BE HAPPY TO PULL THEM OFF AND LET YOU

21 ADDRESS US. IF NOT, WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR

22 ROLL CALL. AND THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

23 AND SO THAT COMPLETES THE CONSENT AGENDA, AND ALL

24 OF THOSE ITEMS WILL GO ON CONSENT CALENDAR.

25 SEE, THAT WASN'T SO PAINFUL. I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THOUGHT IT WAS GOING TO BE MORE COMPLICATED THAN
2 THAT.

3 THE NEXT ONE IS AGENDA ITEM 3, WHICH
4 IS CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
5 THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
6 ELEMENT FOR UNION CITY IN ALAMEDA COUNTY.

7 BEFORE STAFF PRESENTATION, IT'S BEEN
8 AWHILE SINCE I'VE HAD ONE OF THESE WHEN WE HAVE
9 HAD SEVERAL IN THE PAST. AND I WANT TO -- I DON'T
10 KNOW IF THERE'S REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY HERE
11 OR NOT, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMIND
12 EVERYONE THAT WHEN THE LEGISLATURE WROTE THE
13 PROVISION FOR THIS ACTION, POTENTIAL ACTION BY THE
14 BOARD, IT WAS WRITTEN WITH SUPPORT AND
15 NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES
16 AND CSAC AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVES OF LOCAL
17 GOVERNMENT.

18 AND THE REASON WAS THAT RATHER THAN
19 OUTRIGHT REJECTION, WHAT THE BOARD WILL BE DOING
20 IS ISSUING A NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY, WHICH THEN BUYS
21 A PERIOD OF TIME FOR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION TO
22 COME FORWARD WITH NEW INFORMATION, NEW EVIDENCE,
23 AND WORK WITH THE STAFF TO TRY TO REACH
24 CONCURRENCE.

25 SO IT IS A DISAPPROVAL IN ONE SENSE,

1 BUT I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT IT
2 WAS SET UP SPECIFICALLY TO GIVE THE LOCAL
3 JURISDICTIONS A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY AND A LOT OF
4 ABILITY. SO THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF A
5 CONSTRUCTIVE PROCESS, NOT A DOOR SLAMMING. IT'S A
6 DOOR OPENING, IF YOU WILL.

7 I DON'T REMEMBER THE TIME PERIOD
8 THAT IS BOUGHT BY A NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY. JUDY,
9 CAN YOU REMIND ME? SIX MONTHS, IS IT?

10 MS. FRIEDMAN: I BELIEVE IT'S A 120 DAYS,
11 IF I RECALL CORRECTLY. BUT IN THE CASE OF
12 RESTRICTED WASTE, THERE'S EXTRA TIME. THERE'S AN
13 EXTRA 120 DAYS. SO IN THIS CASE I BELIEVE THERE
14 WOULD BE 240 DAYS.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I JUST WANTED
16 TO NOTE ALL THOSE THINGS FOR THE RECORD. I THINK
17 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO STAND BY WHAT
18 WE'RE REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT, BUT AT THE SAME TIME
19 IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS LAW IS WRITTEN
20 AND THE BOARD HAS IMPLEMENTED IT IN A WAY THAT'S
21 INTENDED TO REACH AGREEMENT WITH LOCAL GOVERN-
22 MENTS, NOT TO DRAW LINES IN THE SAND AND MAKE IT
23 IMPOSSIBLE TO RESOLVE IN A MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY
24 WAY.

25 SO THAT BEING SAID, I HOPE I DIDN'T

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TAKE ALL THE WIND OUT OF THE STAFF'S SAILS, BUT
2 I'LL LET THE STAFF PROCEED WITH THEIR
3 PRESENTATION.

4 MS. FRIEDMAN: JUST BY WAY OF
5 INTRODUCTION, YOU'RE GOING TO GET YOUR CHANCE TO
6 HEAR FROM JENIFER KIGER AND CHRIS SCHMIDLE.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AFTER WE SO RUDELY
8 TOOK THE PREVIOUS ONE AWAY.

9 MS. KIGER: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
10 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. AS JUDY JUST
11 INDICATED, MY NAME IS JENIFER KIGER, AND I'M FROM
12 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE. AND I'LL BE
13 INTRODUCING AGENDA ITEM 3, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
14 RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
15 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
16 UNION CITY IN ALAMEDA COUNTY.

17 THE CITY OF UNION CITY PLANS TO
18 IMPLEMENT SOURCE REDUCTION, RECYCLING, AND
19 COMPOSTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS. THE PROGRAMS
20 INCLUDE BACKYARD COMPOSTING, COMMERCIAL RECYCLING,
21 CURBSIDE GREEN WASTE COLLECTION, AND PARTICIPATION
22 IN A REGIONAL COMPOSTING FACILITY.

23 THE CITY PLANS TO EDUCATE CITIZENS
24 THROUGH COMMUNITY OUTREACH, MEDIA AND PUBLIC
25 INFORMATION MATERIALS, A SCHOOL CURRICULUM, AND

1 BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL EDUCATION. IN ADDITION,
2 THE CITY PLANS TO CONTINUE ITS SINGLE FAMILY AND
3 MULTIFAMILY RESIDENTIAL CURBSIDE COLLECTION
4 PROGRAM.

5 UNION CITY'S PROGRAM PLANNING MEETS
6 THE BOARD'S MINIMUM CRITERIA.

7 AND NOW CHRIS SCHMIDLE FROM THE
8 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS BRANCH WILL
9 DISCUSS THE CITY'S DIVERSION NUMBERS.

10 MR. SCHMIDLE: GOOD MORNING, COMMITTEE
11 MEMBERS. I'M CHRIS SCHMIDLE WITH THE WASTE
12 CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH, AND THERE
13 ARE SOME PROBLEMS WITH UNION CITY'S WASTE
14 GENERATION NUMBERS AS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD IN
15 THEIR SRRE.

16 THE CITY CLAIMED BASE-YEAR DIVERSION
17 FOR 1,738 TONS OF RESTRICTED WASTE MATERIALS
18 INCLUDING INERT SOLIDS AND FERROUS AND NONFERROUS
19 METALS. NO DOCUMENTATION WAS RECEIVED TO CLAIM
20 DIVERSION CREDIT FOR THESE MATERIALS, SO THE TONS
21 WERE DEDUCTED FROM THEIR BASE YEAR 1995 AND 2000
22 DIVERSION AMOUNTS.

23 ALSO, THE CITY FAILED TO INCLUDE
24 63,875 TONS OF LANDFILLED SEWAGE SLUDGE IN THEIR
25 BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL AMOUNT. SINCE THE SEWAGE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SLUDGE WAS DISPOSED IN A BOARD PERMITTED SOLID
2 WASTE FACILITY, THE TONS WERE ADDED TO THE BASE
3 YEAR 1995 AND 2000 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS.

4 IN ADDITION, THE JURISDICTION HAS
5 INCORRECTLY INCLUDED 219 TONS OF DISPOSED
6 HAZARDOUS WASTE, SO THE TONS WERE DEDUCTED FROM
7 THE BASE-YEAR 1995 AND 2000 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS.

8 WHEN THE SUBMITTED NUMBERS ARE
9 CORRECTED FOR THESE ERRORS, THE CLAIMED BASE-YEAR
10 DIVERSION RATE CHANGES FROM 15.6 PERCENT TO 7.9
11 PERCENT; THE 1995 PROJECTED DIVERSION RATE CHANGES
12 FROM 25.8 PERCENT TO 14.2 PERCENT, AND THE 2000
13 RATE CHANGES FROM 51.6 PERCENT TO 30.3 PERCENT.
14 THE CORRECTIONS REDUCED THE 1995 AND 2000
15 DIVERSION PERCENTAGES WELL BELOW THE BOARD'S
16 MINIMUM GOALS.

17 STAFF HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE
18 JURISDICTION FOR SEVERAL MONTHS. THEY ARE AWARE
19 OF THE PROBLEMS AND ARE WORKING TO TRY AND CORRECT
20 THEM. TO THIS DATE NO DOCUMENTATIONS OR NUMBERS
21 HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED THAT WOULD CHANGE OUR
22 ANALYSIS, BUT THERE HAS BEEN SOME PRELIMINARY
23 EVIDENCE THAT A PORTION OF THE SLUDGE MAY ACTUALLY
24 HAVE BEEN DIVERTED AND NOT DISPOSED AND THAT THE
25 TOTAL SLUDGE GENERATION ESTIMATE MAY BE WAY TOO

1 HIGH.

2 HOWEVER, THE JURISDICTION DOES NOT
3 HAVE AN APPROVED SLUDGE DIVERSION PERMIT WHICH
4 WOULD ALLOW THEM TO CLAIM THEIR DIVERSION TONNAGE.
5 BOARD STAFF IS COMMITTED TO WORKING WITH THE CITY
6 TO RESOLVE ALL THESE ISSUES.

7 MS. KIGER: BASED ON THE 1995 AND 2000
8 DIVERSION PROJECTIONS FALLING BELOW THE MANDATED
9 GOAL, STAFF RECOMMEND DISAPPROVAL OF UNION CITY'S
10 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT. THAT
11 CONCLUDES OUR PRESENTATION. WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO
12 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE AT THIS
13 TIME.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ARE THERE QUESTIONS
15 FROM THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS?

16 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I DIDN'T ANNOUNCE
18 EARLIER, BECAUSE I DIDN'T, I'LL FORGO THIS
19 PROCEDURE. THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS NORMALLY, BUT
20 IS THERE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CITY HERE WHO
21 WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE? APPARENTLY
22 NOT. OKAY. WHAT'S THE PLEASURE OF THE COMMITTEE?

23 MEMBER FRAZEE: I MOVE ADOPTION OF
24 RESOLUTION 97-273.

25 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
2 SECONDED. AND I KNOW STAFF WOULD DO THIS ANYWAY,
3 BUT I ENCOURAGE THE ONGOING INTENSIVE EFFORT TO
4 BRING THIS TO RESOLUTION WITH THE CITY.

5 THE FLIP SIDE OF WHAT I SAID EARLIER
6 IS THAT I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO MAKE
7 CLEAR THAT WE NEED TO GET THESE NUMBERS CLEARED UP
8 AND STRAIGHTENED OUT BEFORE AN SRRE CAN BE
9 APPROVED. SO I DON'T WANT TO SOUND TOO SOFT. YOU
10 KNOW, SAYING THAT WE'LL WORK WITH THEM DOESN'T
11 MEAN THAT WE DON'T HAVE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS
12 OF THE LAW. I THINK WE CAN DO BOTH, AND STAFF
13 WILL, AS THEY HAVE WITH HUNDREDS OF OTHER
14 JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE, ENDEAVOR TO FIX THIS
15 WITH THE CITY.

16 WE'LL CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

17 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

19 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

20 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

21 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
23 CARRIES. THANK YOU.

24 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 11, CONSIDERATION
25 OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE

1 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
2 CITY OF MALIBU IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I GUESS
3 EVERYBODY KNOWS WHERE MALIBU IS.

4 MS. FRIEDMAN: NATALIE MARCANIO WILL BE
5 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

6 MS. MARCANIO: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND
7 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MY NAME IS NATALIE MARCANIO
8 WITH THE INTEGRATED -- SORRY -- WASTE
9 CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS BRANCH.

10 I'M GOING TO DESCRIBE THE
11 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE CITY OF MALIBU.
12 STAFF'S RECOMMENDING CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE
13 CITY BECAUSE OF THE METHOD USED IN THE SOLID WASTE
14 GENERATION STUDY. THE DISPOSAL QUANTIFICATION
15 METHOD LEFT OUT THE SELF-HAUL WASTESTREAM WHICH IS
16 POSSIBLY A SIGNIFICANT SOURCE OF WASTE IN THE
17 CITY. BECAUSE OF THIS OMISSION, DISPOSAL AND
18 GENERATION TONNAGES MAY NOT BE ACCURATE, AND THIS
19 WILL AFFECT THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE DIVERSION
20 GOALS.

21 AS A CONDITION THAT WE'RE PLACING ON
22 THE CITY, THE FIRST ANNUAL REPORT TO BE SUBMITTED,
23 THE CITY MUST ESTIMATE THE TYPES AND AMOUNTS OF
24 SELF-HAUL WASTE AND SUBSEQUENTLY REVISE THE
25 BASE-YEAR DATA AND PROJECTIONS TO INCLUDE THIS

1 WASTESTREAM.

2 WE'RE CURRENTLY WORKING WITH THE
3 CITY. THEY HAVE MORE ACCURATE INFORMATION
4 STARTING IN 1993 THAT HAD A PERMITTING PROGRAM
5 STARTED WITH THEIR HAULERS. PREVIOUS TO THAT IT
6 WAS WIDE OPEN. THE RESIDENTS AND THE COMMERCIAL
7 BUSINESSES ALL CONTRACTED THEIR OWN HAULING. SO
8 IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT TO GET DISPOSAL INFORMATION.

9 SO IN WORKING WITH THE CITY, WE'LL
10 BE IMPROVING THESE DISPOSAL AMOUNTS AS WE DO HAVE
11 BETTER INFORMATION. THAT'S THE CONCLUSION OF MY
12 PRESENTATION. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

13 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS OF
15 COMMITTEE MEMBERS? IF THERE'S NO QUESTIONS, WE
16 WILL PROCEED TO -- AND I HAVE NO REQUESTS FROM
17 ANYONE TO SPEAK. WE WILL PROCEED TO A MOTION AND
18 A VOTE. AND THE MOTION WOULD BE -- AND I DON'T
19 HAVE THE RESOLUTION NUMBER AT MY FINGERTIPS.

20 MEMBER GOTCH: I HAVE IT.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: TO CONDITIONALLY
22 APPROVE THE CITY OF MALIBU'S SRRE AND FORWARD IT
23 TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.

24 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

25 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
2 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
3 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
4 PRESENTATION. WE WILL PLACE IT ON CONSENT.

5 ITEM 33 IS CONSIDERATION OF THE
6 STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW
7 PROCESS.

8 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, TABETHA WILLMON WILL
9 BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

10 MS. WILLMON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
11 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ITEM NO. 33 IS
12 CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW
13 PROCESS. PRC SECTION 41825 REQUIRES THE BOARD TO
14 REVIEW EACH CITY, COUNTY, AND REGIONAL AGENCY'S
15 SRRE AND HHWE AT LEAST ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS.

16 AS A RESULT OF THIS BIENNIAL REVIEW,
17 THE BOARD MAY INITIATE A COMPLIANCE PROCESS FOR
18 JURISDICTIONS FAILING TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE AND/OR
19 HHWE. JURISDICTIONS FAILING TO MEET THE
20 PROVISIONS OF THE COMPLIANCE PROCESS MAY BE FINED
21 UP TO \$10,000 PER DAY.

22 BOARD STAFF HAVE DEVELOPED A
23 PROPOSED BIENNIAL -- PROPOSED DRAFT BIENNIAL
24 REVIEW PROCESS WHICH IS OUTLINED ON YOUR SCREEN IN
25 A STEP-BY-STEP APPROACH. WILL BE OUTLINED.

1 STAFF PROPOSE THAT THE BIENNIAL
2 REVIEW PROCESS BEGIN IN FALL 1997, SHORTLY AFTER
3 THE BOARD RECEIVES THE 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS FROM
4 JURISDICTIONS. SCHEDULING CRITERIA HAS BEEN
5 DEVELOPED TO ASSIST IN DETERMINING THE ORDER IN
6 WHICH JURISDICTIONS' DOCUMENTS WILL BE REVIEWED.
7 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO
8 HAVE SUBMITTED TWO ANNUAL REPORTS WOULD BE AMONG
9 THOSE REVIEWED INITIALLY. BOARD STAFF WOULD THEN
10 SCHEDULE FOR REVIEW DOCUMENTS FROM JURISDICTIONS
11 WHICH ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE SUBMITTED ONLY THE 1997
12 ANNUAL REPORT.
13 AND FINALLY, ALL REMAINING
14 JURISDICTIONS' DOCUMENTS WOULD BE REVIEWED LAST.
15 THE BOARD HAS THE OPTION OF
16 PERFORMING A BIENNIAL REVIEW ON ANY JURISDICTION
17 REGARDLESS OF SCHEDULING CRITERIA IF SPECIFIC
18 CONDITIONS WARRANT A MORE PROMPT REVIEW.
19 IN CONDUCTING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW,
20 STAFF MAY USE THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF
21 INFORMATION: JURISDICTIONS' SRRE'S AND HHWE'S,
22 AGENDA ITEMS APPROVING THE JURISDICTIONS' SRRE AND
23 HHWE, ANNUAL REPORTS AND DISPOSAL REPORTING
24 INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY THE JURISDICTIONS, BOARD
25 APPROVED PETITIONS FOR REDUCTIONS AND THEIR AGENDA

1 ITEMS, AND BOARD APPROVED PETITIONS FOR EXTENSIONS
2 AND THEIR AGENDA ITEMS, ALSO BOARD APPROVED
3 REGIONAL AGENCY AGENDA ITEMS, CORRESPONDENCE
4 BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS AND THE BOARD, ANY OTHER
5 STAFF COMMUNICATION RECORDS, OTHER INFORMATION
6 SUBMITTED BY THE JURISDICTION, AND DATA FROM
7 FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL AGENCIES WOULD BE USED
8 TO VERIFY PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND NUMBERS.

9 IF, AFTER INFORMATION FROM THESE
10 SOURCES, STAFF REQUIRES ADDITIONAL INFORMATION,
11 LETTERS REQUESTING THE NEEDED INFORMATION WILL BE
12 SENT OUT TO THE JURISDICTIONS.

13 THE REVIEW CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING
14 WHETHER A JURISDICTION HAS MET THE NUMERICAL GOAL,
15 SUFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND HHWE, AND
16 QUALIFIES FOR STATUTORY RELIEF, WHICH INCLUDES
17 GOOD FAITH EFFORT, IS IDENTIFIED IN THE CIWMP
18 ENFORCEMENT POLICY PART 2, WHICH WAS ADOPTED BY
19 THE BOARD IN FEBRUARY OF 1995.

20 BOARD STAFF PROPOSE TO USE THE CIWMP
21 ENFORCEMENT REVIEW CRITERIA TO ANALYZE WHETHER A
22 JURISDICTION HAS MET THE GOAL AND SUFFICIENTLY
23 IMPLEMENTED ITS PROGRAMS AS IDENTIFIED IN THE SRRE
24 AND HHWE.

25 SOME OF THE CIWMP ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CRITERIA INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE
2 FOLLOWING: WHAT ARE THE PROJECTED, ADJUSTED, AND
3 MEASURED DIVERSION RATES? WHAT PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN
4 IMPLEMENTED? OR WHAT EXISTING PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN
5 CONTINUED OR EXPANDED TO ACHIEVE THE DIVERSION
6 REQUIREMENTS? WERE ALL SIGNIFICANT WASTE
7 MATERIALS SELECTED IN THE SRRE AND HHWE TARGETED
8 IN THE IMPLEMENTED PROGRAMS? HAVE MARKETS FOR
9 DIVERTED MATERIALS CONTINUALLY REMAINED LOW OR
10 POOR? AND DOES THE JURISDICTION MEET THE
11 QUALIFICATIONS FOR RELIEF ACCORDING TO PRC
12 41850(B), WHICH IS THE STATUTORY RELIEF AND GOOD
13 FAITH EFFORT CONSIDERATIONS.

14 AS PART OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW,
15 STAFF WILL ALSO ANALYZE THE FOLLOWING: WHETHER A
16 JURISDICTION NEEDS TO REVISE ALL OR A PORTION OF
17 ITS SRRE, HHWE, SITING ELEMENT, OR SUMMARY PLAN AS
18 DIRECTED IN STATUTE; WHETHER NEW DATA WARRANTS
19 ALLOCATION OF AN EXISTING PETITION FOR REDUCTION;
20 WHETHER A JURISDICTION NO LONGER QUALIFIES FOR
21 REDUCED TONNAGES AS SPECIFIED IN PRC SECTION
22 41782; OR WHETHER A JURISDICTION NO LONGER MEETS
23 QUALIFICATIONS FOR TRANSFORMATION OR BIOMASS
24 CONVERSION.

25 ONCE STAFF HAVE COMPLETED THEIR

1 ANALYSIS, A RECOMMENDATION WILL BE MADE AND
2 PRESENTED TO THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
3 COMMITTEE.

4 STAFF ARE PROPOSING A STREAMLINED
5 AGENDA FOR JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE SUFFICIENTLY
6 IMPLEMENTED THEIR SRRE AND HHWE AND HAVE MET THE
7 DISPOSAL REDUCTION GOAL. THIS STREAMLINED AGENDA
8 WOULD CONSIST OF A SINGLE MONTHLY AGENDA PACKET
9 SUMMARIZING INFORMATION FROM EACH JURISDICTION
10 THAT STAFF HAS DETERMINED -- THAT STAFF DETERMINES
11 HAS MET THE GOAL AND IMPLEMENTED ITS DOCUMENTS.

12 IF STAFF ANALYSIS FINDS A
13 JURISDICTION HAS NOT MET THE DISPOSAL REDUCTION
14 GOAL OR HAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE
15 OR HHWE, THE PROPOSED BIENNIAL REVIEW FLOW CHART
16 INDICATES THAT A FULL AGENDA ITEM FOR EACH
17 JURISDICTION WOULD BE PREPARED AND FORWARDED TO
18 THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION.

19 STAFF ARE PROPOSING THAT THE BOARD
20 CONSIDER ADOPTING A POLICY WHICH ALLOWS STAFF TO
21 CONSIDER STATUTORY RELIEF MEASURES AND GOOD FAITH
22 EFFORT DURING THE REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION STEPS
23 OF THE PROPOSED BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS. STATUTE
24 CURRENTLY REQUIRES THESE SITUATIONS TO BE
25 CONSIDERED AT THE END OF THE COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE.

1 AT THIS TIME STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING
2 THAT THIS DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS BE
3 DISTRIBUTED FOR A 30-DAY COMMENT PERIOD TO LOCAL
4 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA
5 CITIES, CSAC, WHICH IS THE COUNTY SUPERVISORS
6 ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA, REGIONAL COUNCIL OF
7 RURAL COUNTIES, LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL
8 ADVISORY COMMITTEE, AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES.

9 STAFF PROPOSE THAT INTERESTED
10 PARTIES BE ASKED TO COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED
11 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS. STAFF WOULD THEN REVIEW
12 ANY COMMENTS, PREPARE A REVISED BIENNIAL REVIEW
13 AGENDA, AND BRING IT TO THE BOARD FOR
14 CONSIDERATION. THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I HAVE A NUMBER
16 OF COMMENTS HERE, AND I'D LIKE TO STIMULATE SOME
17 DISCUSSION AND SEE WHAT THE OTHER COMMITTEE
18 MEMBERS THINK.

19 FIRST OF ALL, THESE AREN'T
20 NECESSARILY MODIFICATIONS AT THIS POINT, ALTHOUGH
21 ONE OF THEM MIGHT BE A LITTLE ADDITION. MOSTLY
22 IT'S JUST THE GENERAL APPROACH FOR US TO THINK
23 ABOUT.

24 THE FIRST THING IS I THINK IT'S
25 IMPORTANT FOR US TO NOT JUST BE FOCUSED IN

1 ACHIEVEMENT OF THE 25 PERCENT, BUT HOW THE LOCAL
2 JURISDICTIONS ARE PROGRESSING ON PROGRAM
3 IMPLEMENTATION FOR ACHIEVING 50 PERCENT. I THINK
4 IT'S REAL IMPORTANT FOR US NOT TO GET HUNG UP ON
5 THE 25-PERCENT NUMBER, EVEN THOUGH THAT'S CLEARLY
6 A LEGAL HOOK THAT WE HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR, BUT
7 I THINK WE NEED TO KEEP OURSELVES MOVING AT THE
8 SAME TIME.

9 IT'S NOT JUST PLANNING WHETHER THEY
10 HAVE THEIR PLANNING DOCUMENTS IN. IT'S PROGRESS
11 ON DIVERSION AND PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION THAT WE
12 SHOULD BE FOCUSING IN ON.

13 THE SECOND THING THAT OCCURS TO ME,
14 WE HAVE, I BELIEVE, NEXT ON THE AGENDA THE LOCAL
15 ASSISTANCE PLAN. I THINK THAT IT'S IMPORTANT FOR
16 US TO VIEW THIS REPORTING PROCESS AS AN IMPORTANT
17 PIECE IN DECIDING WHO NEEDS ASSISTANCE AS PART OF
18 THE PRIORITIZATION PROCESS. WE'LL BE TALKING
19 ABOUT THAT MORE IN DETAIL IN A FEW MINUTES WITH
20 THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. BUT THIS WILL GIVE US
21 AN IDEA OF WHO'S IN GREAT SHAPE AND WHO'S NOT.

22 ANOTHER THING ON A POSITIVE NOTE IS
23 I THINK IT WOULD BE NICE FOR US TO FIND A WAY TO
24 AGENDIZE SOME OUTSTANDING ACHIEVEMENTS BY LOCAL
25 JURISDICTIONS AND NOT JUST HAVE THE ONES COME

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BEFORE US THAT ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY. I THINK IT
2 WOULD BE REALLY GREAT TO HIGHLIGHT AND SOMEHOW
3 RECOGNIZE THE MOST OUTSTANDING.

4 NOW, THAT ISN'T A REQUIRED PART OF
5 THIS REVIEW, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT TAKES THE
6 EMPHASIS OFF THE IDEA THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ARE
7 FAILING, WHICH YOU COULD EASILY GET IF WE JUST
8 AGENDIZE THE ONES THAT ARE STRUGGLING AND HAVING
9 DIFFICULTY. SO I DON'T KNOW QUITE HOW WE DO THAT,
10 BUT IF THERE'S AGREEMENT FROM THE COMMITTEE, IT
11 WOULD BE GOOD FOR US TO THINK ABOUT THAT APPROACH.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: VERY GOOD SUGGESTION.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND THEN MY FINAL
14 COMMENT IS IT SEEMS IMPORTANT FROM MY STANDPOINT
15 WITH REGARD TO THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
16 ELEMENTS TO NOT PUT HIGH STAFF PRIORITY ON THAT
17 REVIEW PROCESS BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THERE ARE
18 FEWER SPECIFIC RESULTS FROM THOSE DOCUMENTS. WE
19 WANT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THEM, AND
20 THAT IS A LEGAL REQUIREMENT. BUT I THINK THAT WE
21 HAVE FEWER ASPECTS IN THE REGULATORY PROCESS THAT
22 DEPEND ON THE CONDITION OF THOSE. AND SO I GUESS
23 WHAT I'M SAYING THE DEGREE OF SCRUTINY WE GIVE
24 OUGHT TO BE GREATER FOR THE SRRE AND THAN FOR THE
25 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENTS.

1 I'M NOT DOWNPLAYING THE IMPORTANCE
2 OF GETTING THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE OUT OF
3 LANDFILLS AND IMPLEMENTING THOSE PLANS, BUT I
4 THINK THAT THE HEAVIER MANDATE AND THE BIGGER --
5 WHAT'S THE RIGHT METAPHOR HERE? -- HAMMER OVER
6 THEIR HEADS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS THE DIVERSION
7 MANDATE, AND WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE
8 REALLY FOCUSING IN ON THE MOST IMPORTANT ASPECT,
9 WHICH IS, I THINK, THE DIVERSION PLANNING.

10 SO THAT BEING SAID, ARE THERE
11 COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE AT
12 THIS POINT?

13 MEMBER GOTCH: NO ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. I
14 AGREE WITH THE POINTS THAT YOU'RE MAKING. I LIKE
15 THE IDEA OF BRINGING OUT SOME OF THE POSITIVE
16 JURISDICTIONS AND WHAT HAS WORKED.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WOULD VALUE INPUT
18 FROM YOUR OFFICES ABOUT HOW WE GO ABOUT THAT,
19 WHETHER WE PICK OUT A CERTAIN NUMBER OR CERTAIN
20 NUMBER IN DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE STATE OR EXACTLY
21 HOW WE HIGHLIGHT THOSE, BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE A
22 VALUABLE THING TO DO.

23 ANY OTHER THOUGHTS? IF NOT, I GUESS
24 WE'LL HEAR FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WHAT THEY THINK
25 ABOUT. SO I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR US TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ADOPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO DISTRIBUTE THE
2 DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS FOR A 30-DAY PUBLIC
3 COMMENT PERIOD.
4 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.
5 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
7 SECONDED. AND AGAIN, I'M ASSUMING, BECAUSE NOBODY
8 IS RAISING THEIR HAND OR FILLING OUT FORMS, THAT
9 THERE'S NOBODY HERE THAT WANTS TO ADDRESS US AT
10 THIS POINT ON THIS ITEM. IF NOT, WE WILL
11 SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION CARRIES
12 THREE TO ZERO. THANK YOU.
13 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS 34, WHICH IS
14 STATUS REPORT ON COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT
15 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.
16 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. THIS IS AN ORAL
17 PRESENTATION. THERE IS NO ITEM IN THE AGENDA, AND
18 ALAN WHITE WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR
19 STAFF.
20 MR. WHITE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
21 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. AS YOU'VE JUST
22 HEARD FROM JUDY, THIS IS JUST A BRIEF ORAL REPORT,
23 TO UPDATE YOU ON THE STATUS OF THE DRAFT LOCAL
24 ASSISTANCE PLAN.
25 AS YOU KNOW, ON MARCH 22D THIS

1 COMMITTEE DIRECTED STAFF TO PREPARE A DRAFT LOCAL
2 ASSISTANCE PLAN AND PRESENT IT TO THE COMMITTEE AT
3 ITS MAY MEETING. THE PLAN WAS TO IDENTIFY THE
4 LOCAL ASSISTANCE WHICH COULD BE PROVIDED TO
5 JURISDICTIONS, THE NEED TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE
6 PROGRAM PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ENFORCEMENT,
7 AND THE CRITERIA THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT
8 TWO TO THREE YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS AND TO
9 ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR DISPOSAL
10 REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS.

11 ON MAY 14TH STAFF PRESENTED THE
12 DRAFT PLAN TO THE COMMITTEE. THE DRAFT PLAN SET A
13 DIRECTION FOR BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND STRONGLY
14 ENCOURAGED THE FORMATION OF PARTNERSHIPS,
15 COORDINATED ASSISTANCE, AND INTEGRATED OUTREACH,
16 ALONG WITH THE BOARD REVIEW OF JURISDICTION NEEDS,
17 CURRENT POLICIES, REGULATIONS, AND THE NEED TO
18 CONTINUALLY STREAMLINE, CLARIFY, AND SIMPLIFY
19 LOCAL GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS.

20 AT THE MAY MEETING THE COMMITTEE
21 DIRECTED STAFF TO RELEASE THE DRAFT PLAN TO
22 JURISDICTIONS, INTEREST GROUPS, AND INTERESTED
23 INDIVIDUALS FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT. AS DIRECTED,
24 STAFF SENT NOTICES OF THE PLAN'S AVAILABILITY TO
25 ALL 530 PLUS JURISDICTIONS ON OUR MAILING LIST,

1 AND COPIES OF THE PLAN ALSO WENT TO GROUPS
2 INTERESTED IN THE BOARD'S LOCAL ASSISTANCE
3 PLANNING ACTIVITIES.

4 STAFF ALSO CONDUCTED A MEETING TO
5 GATHER IN-HOUSE COMMENTS FROM THROUGHOUT THE
6 BOARD. IN ADDITION, STAFF SENT OVER 120 COPIES OF
7 THE PLAN TO ADDITIONAL INTERESTED PARTIES WHO
8 REQUESTED IT.

9 THE DUE DATE FOR THE RECEIPT OF
10 REVIEW COMMENTS IS TODAY, AUGUST 16TH. SO FAR WE
11 HAVE RECEIVED 15 WRITTEN COMMENTS. THE COMMENTS
12 RECEIVED FALL INTO THREE GENERAL GROUPINGS. THE
13 FIRST GROUP IS THE LARGEST AND INCLUDES
14 COMPLIMENTS ON OUR PLANNING EFFORTS AS WELL AS
15 EDITING SUGGESTIONS.

16 THE SECOND GROUP IS COMPRISED OF
17 JURISDICTIONS THAT WANT TO ENSURE THAT BOARD STAFF
18 WILL REMAIN AVAILABLE TO RESPOND TO REQUESTS FOR
19 ASSISTANCE EVEN IF THOSE JURISDICTIONS FALL IN ONE
20 OF THE LOWER PRIORITY CATEGORIES.

21 THE THIRD GROUP HAS SUGGESTED THAT
22 RATHER THAN FOCUSING ON MAXIMIZING THE NUMBER OF
23 JURISDICTIONS THAT MEET THE 939 REQUIREMENTS, THAT
24 WE SHOULD INSTEAD FOCUS ON TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO
25 THOSE JURISDICTIONS WITH THE GREATEST POTENTIAL

1 FOR DIVERSION.

2 WELL, THE NEXT STEP WILL BE FOR
3 STAFF TO COMPILE AND ANALYZE ALL THE REVIEW
4 COMMENTS, REVISE THE PLAN WHERE APPROPRIATE, AND
5 PRESENT THE PROPOSED PLAN TO THIS COMMITTEE AT
6 IT'S AUGUST 20, 1997, MEETING.

7 THAT CONCLUDES MY BRIEF
8 PRESENTATION. I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
9 QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU. I
11 HAVE AGAIN SOME COMMENTS. ARE THERE ANY IMMEDIATE
12 COMMENTS BY THE MEMBERS?

13 I'D LIKE TO RESPOND TO SOME OF THE
14 THINGS I'VE HEARD FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND ALSO
15 FROM SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES AND THEIR ADVISORS AND
16 FROM STAFF FROM OTHER DIVISIONS OUTSIDE THE LOCAL
17 ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

18 FIRST OF ALL, THERE'S -- SOME PEOPLE
19 HAVE QUESTIONED WHETHER OR NOT THE WAY WE
20 PRIORITIZE -- PROPOSE TO PRIORITIZE COULD RESULT
21 IN US TARGETING THE SMALLEST JURISDICTIONS AND THE
22 ONES WITH THE GREATEST DIFFICULTIES. AND ONE IDEA
23 THAT IS STARTING TO EMERGE, THAT WE MAY WANT TO
24 ACT ON, IS THE IDEA OF, INSTEAD OF NECESSARILY
25 SAYING THAT WE PRIORITIZE IN THE SENSE THAT ALL

1 THE RESOURCES COULD GO TO THE FIRST PRIORITY ON
2 THE LIST AND VERY FEW TO THE LOWER PRIORITY,
3 INSTEAD WE THINK IN TERMS OF CATEGORIES AND WE TRY
4 TO FIND WAYS TO STREAMLINE THE HELP FOR THE
5 HIGHEST PRIORITIES, BUT MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T
6 NEGLECT THOSE WHO ARE MAYBE IN THE IN BETWEEN
7 GROUP OR EVEN IN THE LOW PRIORITY GROUP.

8 AND, FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE TALKED
9 ABOUT THE RURAL JURISDICTIONS AND THE IDEA OF
10 TRYING TO ASSIST THE RURAL AND THE SMALL CITIES,
11 RURAL COUNTIES AND SMALL CITIES, THAT ARE ELIGIBLE
12 FOR REDUCTIONS. WELL, THE BEST ASSISTANCE TO
13 PROVIDE THEM FROM AN EFFICIENCY STANDPOINT IS TO
14 STREAMLINE THAT PROCESS. AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT
15 THAT IN A NUMBER OF CASES. THAT WOULD BE, WE
16 HOPE, A LOW RESOURCE INTENSIVE WAY OF TAKING CARE
17 OF THAT PRIORITY GROUP.

18 AND THEN I THINK WITHIN EACH
19 GROUPING OF JURISDICTIONS WE COULD THEN SET
20 PRIORITIES ABOUT WHICH TYPES OF ASSISTANCE ARE
21 MOST APPROPRIATE TO THAT PARTICULAR GROUP, YOU
22 KNOW, THE RURAL AND THE SMALL, THOSE WHO HAVE AN
23 SRRE -- DON'T HAVE AN SRRE ADOPTED, THOSE WHO HAVE
24 AN SRRE BUT ARE HAVING DIFFICULTIES IMPLEMENTING
25 PROGRAMS, ETC., THE KINDS OF CATEGORIES THAT HAVE

1 BEEN ESTABLISHED IN THE PLAN HERE. SO THAT'S ONE
2 THOUGHT WE MAY WANT TO CONSIDER.

3 I ALSO THINK WE'LL NEED TO INTERLINK
4 THIS PROCESS WITH THE PROCESS WE DISCUSSED
5 PREVIOUSLY IN THE BIENNIAL REVIEW, NOT JUST FROM
6 THE STANDPOINT OF INDIVIDUAL JURISDICTIONS, WHICH
7 IS IMPORTANT, THAT WE USE THAT INFORMATION TO
8 FIGURE OUT WHAT THE INDIVIDUAL JURISDICTION NEEDS,
9 BUT ALSO TRY TO KEEP A BROAD VIEW OF WHAT WE'RE
10 HEARING FROM JURISDICTIONS IN GENERAL IN THE
11 BIENNIAL REVIEW ABOUT WHAT'S MISSING SO THAT WE
12 CAN ASSESS WHAT TYPES OF IN-DEPTH CASE STUDIES,
13 FOR EXAMPLE, WE MIGHT WANT TO DO OR WHAT TYPES OF
14 TARGETED ASSISTANCE WE MIGHT WANT TO DO ON A
15 BROADER BASIS, THAT WE LEARN WHAT THE BROAD NEEDS
16 ARE FROM WHATEVER THE MAJOR DEFICIENCIES ARE THAT
17 WE FIND WITHIN THE SRRE'S AS A WHOLE -- SRRE
18 IMPLEMENTATION AS A WHOLE THAT'S COMING OUT OF THE
19 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

20 THE OTHER THING I WANTED TO COMMENT
21 ON, THERE'S BEEN SOME CONCERN RAISED THAT, YOU
22 KNOW, THE LEAGUE HAS SAID THAT THEIR PRIORITY THIS
23 YEAR IS MARKETS, MARKETS, MARKETS, AND WE CONTINUE
24 TO HEAR FROM LOCAL JURISDICTIONS THE IMPORTANCE OF
25 MARKETS. THE BOARD IN ITS STRATEGIC PLAN ADOPTED

1 PRIORITY MATERIALS, AND THERE'S A QUESTION THAT'S
2 BEEN ASKED ABOUT, WELL, SHOULD WE BE GIVING THE
3 KIND OF EFFORT TO LOCAL ASSISTANCE HERE THAT THIS
4 PLAN ENVISIONS.

5 AND I WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT BY
6 SAYING THAT YOU DON'T HAVE -- YOU CAN'T DEVELOP A
7 DEMAND FOR MATERIALS IF YOU DON'T HAVE AN ADEQUATE
8 SUPPLY. THAT'S ONE RESPONSE.

9 MARKETS ARE NOT JUST DEVELOPING THE
10 DEMAND FOR THE MATERIAL ON THE MARKET SIDE.
11 THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT AND I'M A STRONG SUPPORTER
12 OF THIS. I THINK ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS ARE. BUT
13 IF LOCAL JURISDICTIONS DON'T HAVE EFFICIENT
14 PROGRAMS FOR DELIVERING HIGH QUALITY MATERIALS TO
15 THE MARKETPLACE, THIS WHOLE CYCLE IS NOT GOING TO
16 SUCCEED IN THE STATE, SO IT'S AN EQUATION OR A
17 BALANCE BETWEEN THOSE TWO THAT IS REALLY CRITICAL.

18 THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHEN
19 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT LOCAL ASSISTANCE FROM A
20 STATEWIDE MARKET STANDPOINT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
21 MAKING SURE THAT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ARE IN A
22 POSITION NOT JUST TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW, BUT TO
23 PROVIDE THAT STEADY STREAM OF HIGH QUALITY
24 MATERIALS IN A COST EFFECTIVE WAY AND IN A
25 SUSTAINABLE WAY.

1 ALSO, JUST AN OBVIOUS REMINDER, IN
2 TERMS OF RESPONDING TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER
3 STATEWIDE MARKET PRIORITIES SHOULD DOMINATE
4 EVERYTHING IS THE LAW STILL SAYS EACH AND EVERY
5 JURISDICTION IN THE STATE HAS A LEGAL RESPONSI-
6 BILITY TO COMPLY UNDER AB 939. AND AS LONG AS
7 THAT'S THE APPROACH THE LEGISLATION TAKES, WE
8 STILL HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE SURE THAT
9 THOSE JURISDICTIONS GET THE ASSISTANCE THEY NEED
10 TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO COMPLY.

11 I DON'T SEE ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN OUR
12 MARKETS PRIORITIES AND THIS, BUT I WANTED TO
13 ADDRESS IT. I THINK THAT THEY'RE BOTH EQUALLY AS
14 IMPORTANT, AND WE NEED TO RATCHET THEM UP TOGETHER
15 IN A PROGRESSIVE FASHION IF WE'RE GOING TO GET TO
16 50 PERCENT.

17 SO THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS ON THE
18 LEGAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. I THINK WE'RE PROCEEDING
19 QUITE POSITIVELY AND GETTING QUITE A BIT OF
20 RESPONSE. I DID GO TO THE LEAGUE OF CITIES
21 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMITTEE AND DISCUSSED THIS
22 WITH THEM AND GOT QUITE A BIT OF COMMENT AND
23 FEEDBACK THERE. AND I KNOW THAT STAFF HAS BEEN
24 WORKING WITH BRIEFING YOUR OFFICES AND ALSO
25 WORKING WITH THE OTHER DIVISIONS TO GET THEIR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FEEDBACK. AND THAT WASN'T REFLECTED, I DON'T
2 THINK, IN ALAN'S LIST OF COMMENTS. BUT YOU LISTED
3 THE NUMBERS OF OUTSIDE COMMENTS, BUT I JUST WANT
4 TO EMPHASIZE THAT THERE'S AN IMPORTANT INTEGRATED
5 PROCESS GOING ON WITHIN THE BOARD TOO TO MAKE SURE
6 ALL THESE THINGS ARE WORKING TOGETHER.

7 SO I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY ACTION
8 NEEDED AT THIS POINT. WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER IT
9 IN AUGUST. AND IF THERE'S NO OTHER COMMENTS,
10 WE'LL GO ON TO THE NEXT ITEM. THANK YOU.

11 NEXT ONE IS PRESENTATION OF THE
12 PILOT BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION PROGRAM RESULTS.

13 MR. ORR: I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND
14 INTRODUCE THE NEXT TWO ITEMS AT THIS POINT, AND
15 THEN I WON'T SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

16 THE FIRST ITEM WILL BE ON THE
17 RESULTS OF THE PILOT BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION
18 PROGRAM, AND THAT WILL BE PRESENTED BY TERRI
19 CRONIN, AND THEN THE SECOND WILL BE AN UPDATE ON
20 THE RPPC RATE. AND THAT ONE WILL BE PRESENTED BY
21 STEVE STORELLI. SO WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE FIRST
22 ITEM OVER TO TERRI CRONIN.

23 MS. CRONIN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
24 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS IS AN
25 INFORMATION ITEM, WHICH MEANS NO ACTION IS

1 REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE. THE PURPOSE IS TO
2 UPDATE THE COMMITTEE ON THE RESULTS OF THE FOUR
3 CONTRACTS THAT WERE COMPLETED THROUGH THE PILOT
4 BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION PROGRAM AND TO ALSO
5 INFORM THE COMMITTEE OF PLANNED FUTURE ACTIVITIES
6 RELATED TO THESE CONTRACTS.

7 JUST TO GIVE YOU A QUICK BACKGROUND,
8 IN 1994 IS WHEN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT CONCEPT WAS
9 DEVELOPED. AND IT WAS BASED ORIGINALLY ON A
10 PROGRAM IN NEW ENGLAND CALLED "WASTE CAP." AND
11 THE BASIC CONCEPT OF THAT PROGRAM IS MATCHING
12 BUSINESSES WITH BUSINESSES TO ENCOURAGE WASTE
13 REDUCTION.

14 OUR PROJECT WAS DEVELOPED INTO FOUR
15 REGIONAL CONTRACTS WHERE THE CONTRACTOR WOULD
16 COORDINATE A TEAM OF VOLUNTEERS TO GO OUT AND DO
17 WASTE ASSESSMENTS AND PROVIDE WASTE REDUCTION
18 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE BUSINESSES PARTICIPATING
19 IN THE PROGRAM.

20 THE COMMITTEE HAD APPROVED \$40,000
21 FOR THE FOUR CONTRACTS. WE ALSO OBTAINED
22 ADDITIONAL FUNDING THROUGH U.S. EPA OF \$53,000,
23 AND THE MONEY WAS USED TO PROMOTE U.S. EPA'S
24 WASTEWISE PROGRAM. AND THAT PROGRAM, WHAT THAT
25 DOES IS ENCOURAGE BUSINESSES TO SET GOALS ON WASTE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PREVENTION, RECYCLING, AND BUY RECYCLED. AND WE
2 ARE PROVIDING INFORMATION TO THE BUSINESSES ABOUT
3 THAT PROGRAM.

4 THE DELIVERABLES OF THE CONTRACT
5 THAT WERE ACHIEVED WERE 252 ON-SITE WASTE
6 ASSESSMENTS THAT WERE COMPLETED, WASTE REDUCTION
7 REPORTS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS WERE PROVIDED TO
8 THESE BUSINESSES. FIFTEEN CASE STUDIES WERE
9 DEVELOPED THROUGH THIS PROGRAM. AND VARIOUS
10 RESOURCE MATERIALS THAT WE HAVE HERE AT THE BOARD
11 WERE DISTRIBUTED TO THE BUSINESSES, INCLUDING
12 INFORMATION ON THE WRAP PROGRAM.

13 ALSO, AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
14 PROJECT, WE WANTED TO ENSURE THAT THE LOCAL
15 GOVERNMENTS WERE INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS. AND WE
16 REQUESTED EACH CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN A LETTER FROM
17 THEIR LOCAL JURISDICTION SO WE WOULD BE ASSURED
18 THAT THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS WERE AWARE OF THEIR
19 EFFORTS TO DO THIS PROJECT. SO THAT HELPED KEEP
20 THEM INVOLVED THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT.

21 WHAT I WANT TO DO NOW IS TALK A
22 LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT HAS GONE ON SINCE THE
23 CONTRACTS HAVE ENDED SINCE ONE OF THE THINGS WE
24 WERE HOPING TO DO WAS ESTABLISH SOME ONGOING
25 EFFORTS IN THESE FOUR COMMUNITIES. JUST TO LET

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 YOU KNOW WHAT THE FOUR CONTRACTORS WERE, I'LL GO
2 FROM NORTH TO SOUTH.
3 FIRST ONE WAS ARCATA COMMUNITY
4 RECYCLING CENTER, WHICH IS IN ARCATA. THE SECOND
5 ONE -- I WAS GOING TO SAY HUMBOLDT. THE SECOND
6 ONE WAS IN THE SANTA CRUZ AREA, WHICH IS ECOLOGY
7 ACTION OF SANTA CRUZ WAS THE CONTRACTOR. THIRD
8 WAS A CONSULTING FIRM CALLED THE PICKUP ARTISTS,
9 WHICH ARE IN LOS ANGELES. AND THE FOURTH WAS
10 ENERGY CONSULTING ASSOCIATES LOCATED IN SAN DIEGO.
11 ONE OF THE MOST SUCCESSFUL EFFORTS
12 THAT WERE UNDERTAKEN WAS BY ECOLOGY ACTION OF
13 SANTA CRUZ. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DID RIGHT
14 AT THE BEGINNING OF THE CONTRACT WAS GET
15 ADDITIONAL FUNDING, SO THEY ACTUALLY EXPANDED
16 THEIR PROJECT FROM THE BEGINNING, ADDING A
17 COMPONENT IN TO DO A MINIMAX AND TO DO EXTENSIVE
18 TRAINING FOR THEIR VOLUNTEERS AND ALSO TO DO MORE
19 EXTENSIVE IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE FOR THE
20 BUSINESSES. THEY ALSO DEVELOPED A SOFTWARE
21 PROGRAM, AND THEY WERE ACTUALLY ABLE TO DO SOME
22 CALCULATIONS OF WASTE REDUCTION AND COST SAVINGS
23 FOR THE BUSINESSES.
24 ARCATA COMMUNITY RECYCLING CENTER
25 HAS TOLD US THAT THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN DOING

1 MORE WORK ALONG THESE LINES, BUT RIGHT NOW THEY
2 HAVE SOME OTHER MORE CRITICAL ISSUES THAT THEY'RE
3 DEALING WITH.

4 THE PICKUP ARTISTS IN LOS ANGELES
5 HAVE BEEN ON INDIVIDUAL BASIS BY REQUEST BY
6 BUSINESSES, THEY HAVE DOING SOME ADDITIONAL WASTE
7 ASSESSMENTS, AND THEY ARE ALSO LOOKING INTO SOME
8 OTHER PROJECTS THAT THEY COULD DO AT THE COMMUNITY
9 LEVEL BASED ON THEIR EXPERIENCE. ANOTHER THING
10 THAT THEY DID DO IS A SERIES OF HOTEL WASTE
11 REDUCTION WORKSHOPS, AND THEY USED A LOT OF THEIR
12 EXPERIENCE THROUGH THIS PROJECT IN THOSE
13 WORKSHOPS.

14 ENERGY CONSULTING ASSOCIATES IN SAN
15 DIEGO DOES NOT HAVE ANY PLANS AT THIS POINT TO
16 CONTINUE BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION EFFORTS.
17 UNFORTUNATELY, ONCE THE MONEY RUNS OUT, YOU KNOW,
18 THEY'RE KIND OF ON THEIR OWN WHETHER THEY WANT TO
19 CONTINUE EFFORTS.

20 NEXT TWO PARTS OF MY PRESENTATION
21 ARE SOME OF THE LESSONS WE LEARNED THROUGH THIS
22 CONTRACT, AND THEN ALSO THE FUTURE EFFORTS THAT WE
23 ARE UNDERTAKING OUT OF THIS CONTRACT.

24 THE FIRST LESSON WE LEARNED, THAT
25 \$10,000 ISN'T A LOT OF MONEY. AND WHEN WE FIRST

1 SENT THIS OUT -- THE RFP OUT, WE ONLY GOT ONE BID.
2 ONCE WE GOT THE ADDITIONAL FUNDING FROM U.S. EPA,
3 WE GOT SOME MORE BIDS.

4 ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WAS, GIVEN THE
5 LIMITED RESOURCES, WAS EFFECTIVE MEASUREMENT OF
6 WASTE REDUCTION ACHIEVED. AND WE FEEL THAT FUTURE
7 EFFORTS SHOULD PUT MORE FOCUS ON THAT, SO WE'LL BE
8 ABLE TO SHOW THE BUSINESSES WHAT THE BENEFITS ARE.

9 ANOTHER ISSUE WAS FOLLOW-UP
10 ASSISTANCE. WE FOUND THAT THE EFFORTS OF ECOLOGY
11 ACTION, BECAUSE THEY WERE ABLE TO OBTAIN
12 ADDITIONAL FUNDING AND DO MORE IMPLEMENTATION
13 ASSISTANCE, THERE SEEMED TO BE A LOT MORE SUCCESS
14 FROM THEIR EFFORT. SO WE FEEL THAT FOLLOW-UP
15 ASSISTANCE IS MORE CRITICAL THAN WE REALIZED.

16 ALSO, LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUPPORT IS
17 CRITICAL TO ESTABLISH THIS ONGOING NETWORK OF BOTH
18 RESOURCES AND SUPPORT FOR THESE KIND OF PROGRAMS.

19 ANOTHER THING WE LEARNED IS THAT
20 BUSINESS WASTE ASSESSMENT SHOULD BE TARGETED AT
21 SECTORS THAT GENERATE KEY MATERIALS THAT WE ARE
22 TRYING TO REDUCE. AND, OF COURSE, BUSINESSES ARE
23 MOTIVATED BY PROFIT AND COST. AND WE REALIZE THAT
24 IF WE WANT THEM TO CHANGE THEIR PRACTICES TO
25 REDUCE WASTE, WE REALLY NEED TO FOCUS ON WHAT

1 THEIR INTERESTS ARE. AND THAT'S IN LINE WITH OUR
2 CURRENT EFFORTS TO PROMOTE THE CONCEPT OF RESOURCE
3 EFFICIENCY.

4 SOME OF THE BUSINESSES HAD CONCERNS
5 REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY, BOTH OF ALLOWING PEOPLE
6 TO COME INTO THEIR WORKSHOP AND ALSO OF SHARING
7 INFORMATION THAT THEY FELT WAS SOMEWHAT
8 CONFIDENTIAL.

9 AND THE FINAL CHALLENGE WAS WORKING
10 WITH VOLUNTEERS, RECRUITING THEM, TRAINING THEM,
11 AND RETAINING THEM. AND FORTUNATELY TWO OF THE
12 CONTRACTORS HAD PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE WITH
13 VOLUNTEERS, SO THEY WERE ABLE TO MANAGE IT FAIRLY
14 WELL.

15 WE IDENTIFIED SEVEN FUTURE EFFORTS.
16 SOME OF THOSE ARE ALREADY UNDER WAY THAT WE WILL
17 IMPLEMENT AFTER THIS CONTRACT. THE FIRST IS TO
18 ENCOURAGE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND
19 SOME PRIVATE CONSULTANTS TO CONDUCT SIMILAR
20 PROGRAMS. WE WILL BE PUTTING ARTICLES IN OUR
21 INTERNAL NEWSLETTER THAT GO OUT, INCLUDING
22 INFOCYCLING AND THE RMDZ NEWSLETTER, AND THEN ALSO
23 ENCOURAGING THOSE ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE INVOLVED
24 IN THE CONTRACT TO SHARE THEIR EXPERIENCES.

25 RIGHT NOW ECOLOGY ACTION IS WORKING

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WITH THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO DO SOME BUSINESS
2 WASTE REDUCTION WORK.

3 A SECOND EFFORT IS SHARING JUST
4 GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PROGRAM THROUGH
5 BOTH A FACT SHEET AND THEN ALSO INCLUDING
6 INFORMATION ON OUR WASTE PREVENTION WORLD WEBSITE.

7 THIRD, WE WILL BE CONDUCTING A
8 FOLLOW-UP SURVEY OF SOME OF THE BUSINESSES THAT
9 PARTICIPATED IN THE CONTRACTS.

10 FOURTH, WE HAVE A PROJECT THAT WE'RE
11 JUST STARTING RIGHT NOW, WHICH WE GOT FUNDING
12 THROUGH U.S. EPA FOR, AND IT'S CALLED THE
13 MULTIMEDIA POLLUTION PREVENTION ASSESSMENTS FOR
14 PRINTERS. THIS IS A PROJECT IN CONJUNCTION WITH
15 JURISDICTIONS IN THE BAY AREA, AND IT INVOLVES
16 GOING OUT AND DOING ASSESSMENTS AT PRINTERS. SO
17 WE WILL USE A LOT OF THE EXPERIENCE GAINED HERE
18 FOR THAT PROJECT.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHEN YOU SAY
20 MULTIMEDIA, DOES THAT MEAN THAT THE SOLID WASTE
21 PART IS ONLY A PORTION OF THE PROGRAM IT INVOLVES?
22 THERE ARE OTHER POLLUTION ISSUES OR DISPOSAL
23 ISSUES.

24 MS. CRONIN: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

25 ANOTHER EFFORT THAT IS UNDER WAY AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THIS POINT IS IDENTIFYING BUSINESS SECTORS THAT
2 GENERATE KEY WASTE MATERIALS AND THEN PROVIDING
3 TARGETED INFORMATION TO THOSE BUSINESS SECTORS.

4 A SIXTH ITEM IS COORDINATING WITH
5 THE PROJECT THAT'S ONGOING, AND IT'S THROUGH THE
6 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY. THEY HAVE ESTABLISHED
7 INDUSTRIAL ASSESSMENT CENTERS, AND THEY'RE
8 CONDUCTING WASTE AND ENERGY AUDITS. AND THE
9 ENERGY COMMISSION IS ALSO WORKING WITH THEM ON A
10 SERIES OF WORKSHOPS. AND OVER MORE THAN A YEAR
11 NOW WE'VE BEEN COORDINATING WITH THEM TO HELP THEM
12 WITH THEIR EFFORT.

13 AND FINALLY, WE WILL BE FORMATTING
14 THE CASE STUDIES AND ADDING THOSE TO THE WASTE
15 PREVENTION WORLD WEBSITE.

16 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. ARE
17 THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: QUESTIONS?

19 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS. COMMENTS.
20 IT SEEMS A LOT'S BEEN LEARNED THROUGH THIS
21 PROGRAM. AND AS A RESULT OF WHAT WE'VE LEARNED
22 AND IN KEEPING WITH OUR STRATEGIC PLANNING GOALS
23 AND THE FACT THAT CERTAIN SECTORS OF THE STATE
24 WERE -- WEREN'T COVERED IN THIS PILOT PROGRAM,
25 COUPLED WITH THE FACT THAT BUSINESSES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONTRIBUTE -- IS THE WORD I WAS TRYING TO THINK
2 OF. SLOW MORNING -- ABOUT 50 PERCENT OF THE
3 WASTESTREAM, THIS SEEMS LIKE AN AREA THAT WE
4 SHOULD ONCE AGAIN PURSUE A CONTRACT CONCEPT FOR
5 ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

6 SO I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT THIS
7 COMMITTEE DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE A CONTRACT
8 CONCEPT TO BOLSTER THE SANTA CRUZ EFFORTS AND THEN
9 ALSO, AS YOU HAD MENTIONED WITH SAN FRANCISCO,
10 IN -- EXPAND INTO THE BAY AREA ALSO.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO ARE YOU TALKING
12 ABOUT A CONTRACT CONCEPT, JUST SPECIFYING A
13 CERTAIN AREA OR IS IT MORE GENERAL THAN THAT?

14 MEMBER GOTCH: WELL, I WAS TAKING A LOOK
15 AT THE CENTRAL REGION, WHICH TERRI HAD MENTIONED
16 AS THE AREA, BUT I'M OPEN. HOW DO THE OTHER
17 COMMITTEE MEMBERS FEEL?

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I'M OPEN TOO. I
19 THINK IT MIGHT BE PREMATURE AT THIS POINT TO
20 SPECIFY WHICH PART OF THE STATE WE WANT TO TARGET.
21 I THINK WE PROBABLY WANT TO HAVE STAFF TELL US
22 WHERE THEY THINK THE NEEDS ARE AND HAVE THE BOARD
23 MEMBERS OR ADVISORS, I GUESS, WORKING TOGETHER TO
24 TRY TO HELP INDICATE, ASSUMING FUNDING IS
25 AVAILABLE. AND I'M NOT CERTAINLY AGAINST THE ONES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THAT YOU'VE MENTIONED. THAT SOUNDS GOOD, BUT...

2 MEMBER GOTCH: NO. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU

3 ARE SAYING. I DON'T NEED TO NARROW IT DOWN.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AS A FOR EXAMPLE.

5 MEMBER GOTCH: YEAH, FOR EXAMPLE. THAT'S

6 A GREAT FOR EXAMPLE.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WHAT'S YOUR

8 RESPONSE ON THAT?

9 MEMBER FRAZEE: I AGREE.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO I'LL TAKE THAT AS A

11 MOTION AND SECOND TO DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE A

12 CONTRACT CONCEPT TO CONTINUE FUNDING ON THIS. AND

13 I THINK CLEARLY THESE THINGS ARE ALL INTERLINKED,

14 BUT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT LOCAL ASSISTANCE. WHAT

15 WE'RE DOING ON A BROAD REGIONAL AND CROSS

16 JURISDICTIONAL BASIS TO EDUCATE THE BUSINESS

17 COMMUNITY IS ANOTHER COMPONENT OF LOCAL

18 ASSISTANCE. IT'S INDIRECT. IT'S HELPING THEM GET

19 WASTE OUT OF THE WASTESTREAM BY GOING DIRECTLY TO

20 THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY, BUT IT'S A CRITICAL PIECE.

21 AND SO I'M GLAD THESE PROJECTS HAVE GONE AS WELL

22 AS THEY HAVE.

23 WHAT I HOPE WILL HAPPEN, AND THIS IS

24 HAPPENING SOME PLACES IN THE STATE, IS THAT THERE

25 SHOULD BE A WHOLE BEVY OF CONSULTANTS AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONSULTING FILMS WHO ARE FIGURING OUT THAT THEY
2 CAN GO TO BUSINESSES AND SAY, YOU KNOW, FOR A
3 PORTION OF THE BENEFIT, I WILL REDUCE YOUR WASTE
4 COST.

5 THE BIG EXAMPLE WAS WARNER CENTER
6 WHERE RMI WENT IN AND DRAMATICALLY REDUCED THE
7 WASTE COST FOR ALL THOSE BUSINESSES THAT ARE IN
8 THE WARNER CENTER DOWN IN SAN FERNANDO VALLEY.
9 BUT I THINK THAT ULTIMATELY WOULD BE IDEAL IF WE
10 HAD -- WE BEGAN TO CREATE -- SHOW THE WAY TOWARDS
11 THE CREATION OF THIS BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY AND SAW
12 A LOT OF PRIVATE SECTOR ACTIVITY GET GOING TO HELP
13 BUSINESSES REDUCE THEIR COSTS. AND THAT SEEMS
14 LIKE A WIN, WIN, WIN. AND IT'S AMAZING TO ME THAT
15 IT HASN'T OCCURRED TO PEOPLE AUTOMATICALLY, BUT I
16 THINK WE HAVE TO KEEP PRIMING THE PUMP UNTIL IT'S
17 REALLY A WIDESPREAD ACTIVITY IN ALL SECTORS OF THE
18 ECONOMY AND ALL REGIONS OF THE STATE AS WELL.

19 MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST A THOUGHT. I WOULD
20 THINK THAT THESE EFFORTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED
21 TOWARDS SPECIFIC GROUPS RATHER THAN LEAVING THE
22 BROAD SPECTRUM OF CONSULTANTS OR THE RECIPIENT OF
23 THE GRANT GOING OUT AND SELECTING WHOEVER THEY CAN
24 WITHOUT REGARD TO WHAT KIND OF POTENTIAL FOR WASTE
25 REDUCTION THERE IS. AND THIS -- I THINK YOU

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MENTIONED THIS TIED TOGETHER WITH THE HOTEL ONE,
2 AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME SPECIFIC
3 INDUSTRIES TARGETED WHERE THERE IS A GREATER
4 POTENTIAL FOR REDUCTION.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S ONE APPROACH.
6 YOU KNOW, THE OTHER ONE, ALTHOUGH I DON'T KNOW
7 THAT THERE ARE OTHER SITUATIONS LIKE THIS, BUT THE
8 ONE THAT WORKED SO WELL AT WARNER CENTER WAS WHEN
9 YOU HAD A GROUP OF BUSINESSES. THEY WERE VERY
10 DIVERSE, BUT THEY WERE ALL PART OF THE SAME
11 BUSINESS PARK, BUSINESS ASSOCIATION, IF YOU WILL,
12 SO YOU WERE ABLE TO GET SORT OF AN UMBRELLA
13 APPROACH.

14 I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY DEVELOPMENTS
15 THERE ARE IN CALIFORNIA THAT ARE QUITE THAT --
16 MIGHT BE UNIQUE, BUT IF THERE ARE OTHER
17 OPPORTUNITIES, THAT'S ANOTHER KIND OF SITUATION
18 WHERE YOU CAN HIT A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF
19 BUSINESSES IN A VERY EFFICIENT WAY BECAUSE THEY'RE
20 ALL TOGETHER IN A UNIT, YOU KNOW. BUT AT THE SAME
21 TIME, ANALYZING -- I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT YOU ARE
22 SAYING ABOUT ANALYZING WHICH SECTORS COULD MOST
23 USE THIS KIND OF ASSISTANCE AND MAYBE WOULD BE
24 WIDESPREAD ENOUGH TO BENEFIT THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF
25 JURISDICTIONS AS WELL.

1 MEMBER FRAZEE: THE EXPERIENCE IN THE SAN
2 DIEGO GROUP, I THINK ONE OF THE EFFORTS THERE WAS
3 TO TARGET SHOPPING MALLS. AND THE REPORT THAT WE
4 HAD ON THAT, THEY HAD GREAT SUCCESS WITH THE SMALL
5 STORES. BUT AS -- THE CONSULTANT REPORTED DEALING
6 WITH THE BIG CHAIN RETAILERS, WHO HIS SUGGESTION
7 WAS THEY CAN'T EVEN MAKE A DECISION TO TURN OFF
8 THE PARKING LOT LIGHTS WITHOUT GETTING CORPORATE
9 APPROVAL. AND SO THEY HAD GREAT SUCCESS WITH THE
10 SMALL RETAILERS, BUT THE BIG ONES MAY HAVE HAD
11 PROGRAMS, BUT TRYING TO TIE THE WHOLE THING
12 TOGETHER WAS A LITTLE DIFFICULT FOR THEM.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DID WE VOTE ON THAT?

14 MS. CRONIN: THERE'S NOTHING TO VOTE ON.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE DID A MOTION TO ASK
16 FOR THE CONTRACT CONCEPT. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE
17 PRIOR ROLL CALL AND MOTION PASSES THREE TO ZERO.
18 AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND FOR THE
19 GOOD WORK.

20 AND OUR FINAL REGULAR AGENDA ITEM IS
21 ITEM 36, WHICH IS THE UPDATE ON THE CALCULATION OF
22 THE 1996 RPPC PET AND ALL-CONTAINER RECYCLING
23 RATES.

24 MS. BROW: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE
25 MEMBERS, MY NAME IS CAROLE BROW. THE ITEM BEFORE

1 YOU TODAY IS BEING PROVIDED TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE
2 ON THE ACTIVITIES BEING CARRIED OUT TO DETERMINE
3 THE ALL-CONTAINER RPPC RATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
4 METHOD RECENTLY APPROVED BY THIS COMMITTEE AND THE
5 BOARD. STEVE STORELLI OF OUR BRANCH WILL DO THE
6 PRESENTATION.

7 MR. STORELLI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN
8 AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE. AS CAROLE SAID,
9 THIS AGENDA ITEM WILL UPDATE YOU ON STAFF'S
10 PROGRESS TO DETERMINE THE 1996 ALL-CONTAINER
11 RECYCLING RATE SINCE THE BOARD APPROVED THE RATE
12 LAST SPRING.

13 BEGINNING WITH THE NUMERATOR, TO
14 ESTIMATE THE AMOUNT OF RPPC'S RECYCLED, STAFF
15 PROPOSES TO SURVEY PLASTIC PROCESSORS. LAST MONTH
16 RALPH CHANDLER SPOKE WITH LARRY GOLDSPAN, THE
17 DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION, ABOUT
18 THE POSSIBILITY OF DOC ASSISTING WITH THE SURVEY.

19 DOC HAS AGREED TO ENTER INTO AN
20 INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT WITH THE BOARD AND UNDERTAKE
21 A SURVEY OF PROCESSORS. BOARD STAFF IS DEVELOPING
22 AN INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT WHICH INCLUDES A SCOPE OF
23 WORK.

24 THE SCOPE OF WORK PRIMARILY REQUIRES
25 DOC TO REVISE LAST YEAR'S SURVEY INSTRUMENT,

1 UPDATE THE CONTACT LIST, MAIL THE SURVEY, AND
2 COMPILE THE RESULTS.

3 STAFF ALSO SUBMITTED A CONTRACT
4 CONCEPT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997-98 TO FUND THE
5 INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT. IF THE FUNDING IS NOT
6 APPROVED, THE IA CANNOT BE ENTERED INTO, AND STAFF
7 WILL USE A FALLBACK METHOD APPROVED BY THE BOARD
8 LAST SPRING.

9 THE FALLBACK METHOD RELIES ON
10 FACTORS DEVELOPED FROM LAST YEAR'S SURVEY OF
11 CURBSIDE, DROP-OFF, AND BUY-BACK PROGRAMS WHICH
12 WAS CONDUCTED BY CASCADIA CONSULTING.

13 MOVING TO THE DENOMINATOR, TO
14 ESTIMATE THE AMOUNT OF RPPC'S GENERATED IN
15 CALIFORNIA, STAFF WILL FACTOR NATIONAL RESIN SALES
16 BY THE RESULTS OF LAST YEAR'S WASTE CHARACTERI-
17 ZATION STUDY. THIS METHOD REQUIRES THREE DATA
18 SOURCES: THE 1995 NATIONAL RESIN SALE CATEGORIES
19 WHICH REPRESENT RPPC PRODUCTION, 1996 NATIONAL
20 RESIN SALES CATEGORIES WHICH ALSO REPRESENT RPPC
21 PRODUCTION, AND THE RESULTS OF THE 1995 RPPC WASTE
22 CHARACTERIZATION STUDY CONDUCTED BY CASCADIA
23 CONSULTING.

24 CASCADIA HAS REVIEWED THE CATEGORIES
25 OF THE NATIONAL RESIN SALES WHICH REPRESENT RPPC

1 PRODUCTION AND PROVIDED STAFF WITH A LIST OF
2 CATEGORIES WHICH THEY BELIEVE SHOULD BE INCLUDED
3 IN THE CALCULATION. STAFF HAS DISTRIBUTED THIS
4 LIST TO INTERESTED PARTIES ON JUNE 13TH AND
5 REQUESTED REVIEW AND COMMENT OF THESE CATEGORIES.
6 STAFF RECEIVED TWO COMMENT LETTERS.
7 ONE FROM APC AND THE OTHER ONE FROM JOHN SHEDD.
8 THE FOCUS OF APC'S COMMENT EMPHASIZE THAT THE
9 NATIONAL RESIN SALES STATISTICS WERE NEVER
10 DEVELOPED TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF RPPC'S
11 GENERATED IN CALIFORNIA AND THAT TO ACCURATELY USE
12 THESE STATISTICS IN THE FUTURE, A METHOD MUST BE
13 DEVELOPED TO ENSURE ACCURACY. JOHN SHEDD FOCUSED
14 ON HIS BELIEF THAT LAST YEAR'S WASTE CHARACTERI-
15 ZATION STUDY WAS FLAWED AND THAT ANY USE OF DATA
16 FOR THIS YEAR'S STUDY WILL PRODUCE QUESTIONABLE
17 RESULTS. HE ALSO NOTED THAT THE NATIONAL 1996 APC
18 RECYCLING RATE IS NOW A DIVERSION RATE INSTEAD OF
19 A RECYCLING RATE.
20 TO SUMMARIZE, WE'RE PROPOSING TO
21 WORK WITH DOC TO ESTIMATE THE NUMERATOR AND TO
22 WORK WITH THE OUR CONTRACTOR CASCADIA AND
23 INTERESTED PARTIES TO SELECT THOSE CATEGORIES IN
24 THE NATIONAL RESIN SALES WHICH WE'LL USE TO
25 GENERATE RPPC'S IN THE DENOMINATOR. THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONCLUDES THE UPDATE.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, YOU COULD
3 PROBABLY IMAGINE I HAD QUITE A CHUCKLE AFTER ALL
4 THE FOLDEROL AROUND USING THE NATIONAL RESIN
5 NUMBERS AND HOW COMPLETELY UNRELIABLE THOSE COULD
6 POSSIBLY BE THAT WE'RE BACK TO TALKING ABOUT
7 TRYING TO ADJUST THE NATIONAL RESIN NUMBERS, YOU
8 KNOW. AND IT SEEMS LIKE WE KIND OF GO IN AND OUT
9 OF WHETHER THEY'RE GOOD NUMBERS.

10 AT ONE POINT APC WAS TOUTING THEM TO
11 DEMONSTRATE THEIR RECYCLING RATE NATIONWIDE. AND
12 LAST YEAR, WHEN WE WANTED TO USE THEM AS A METHOD
13 TO SEE HOW ACCURATE OUR -- AS A BENCHMARK TO SEE
14 HOW ACCURATE OUR RATE MEASUREMENTS WERE, THE WHOLE
15 IDEA WAS PILLORIED SEVERELY.

16 AND I JUST HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW A
17 LETTER THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO THE CHAIRMAN, AND NOT
18 EVEN CC.'D TO THE CHAIR OF THIS COMMITTEE, BY
19 LAURIE HANSON ON BEHALF OF THE PLASTICS INDUSTRY.
20 YOU KNOW, YOU MENTIONED WE GOT COPIES OF IT, BUT
21 IT'S KIND OF AMAZING TO ME THAT THEY'RE NOT EVEN
22 TRYING TO COMMUNICATE WITH ALL THE MEMBERS OF THIS
23 COMMITTEE. AND I KNOW JANET GOTCH HAD TO ASK FOR
24 A COPY. I KNOW WE EVENTUALLY WOULD HAVE SEEN IT,
25 BUT AGAIN IT'S SCRATCHING YOUR HEAD. I THINK FROM

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 A QUICK LOOK AT IT, IT APPEARS THAT THEY'RE MAKING
2 SUGGESTIONS ABOUT HOW WE WOULD GO ABOUT MAKING THE
3 ADJUSTMENTS; IS THAT IS CORRECT?

4 MR. STORELLI: YES.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO WE'RE BACK IN THE
6 REALM THAT IT IS POSSIBLE THEORETICALLY TO USE
7 THAT NUMBER AND TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO
8 ADJUST IT TO COME UP WITH A SEMI-ACCURATE NUMBER,
9 SOMEWHAT ACCURATE NUMBER; IS THAT CORRECT?

10 MR. STORELLI: FOR 1996 I THINK THAT'S
11 CORRECT. BUT I THINK, ALSO, WHAT THEY'RE SAYING
12 IS THAT IN THE FUTURE, BECAUSE NATIONAL RESIN
13 SALES WEREN'T DEVELOPED TO CALCULATE RPPC
14 PRODUCTION IN CALIFORNIA, THAT WE HAVE TO
15 BENCHMARK OR ENSURE THAT THOSE -- THAT NATIONAL
16 INFORMATION IS USED CORRECTLY FOR CALIFORNIA. SO
17 AN ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM OR SOME OTHER METHOD OR
18 PROCEDURE HAS TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THAT WAS
20 ESSENTIALLY MY POSITION LAST YEAR, SO I HAVE TO
21 CHUCKLE.

22 AND I HAVE A QUESTION. EVERYTHING
23 I'VE READ ABOUT PLASTIC RECYCLING IS THAT IT'S
24 DECLINED AND THE FACTORIES ARE CLOSING AND PRICES
25 FOR POSTCONSUMER PLASTICS ARE VERY, VERY LOW.

1 HAVE WE OR HAS APC MADE ANY SUGGESTIONS ABOUT HOW
2 WE ADJUST THE RATE TO TAKE THOSE THINGS INTO
3 ACCOUNT?

4 MR. STORELLI: THEY HAVEN'T, BUT I
5 THINK -- STAFF IS AWARE THAT -- AT LEAST IN THE
6 PET WORLD, WHICH WE HAVE INFORMATION FROM DOC, WE
7 HAVE SEEN SOME OF THAT REDUCTION IN RECYCLING.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE DO HAVE A
9 SPEAKER REQUEST. BEFORE I CALL ON HIM, ARE THERE
10 ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS?
11 OKAY. I'LL ASK GEORGE LARSON, REPRESENTING APC
12 AND SPI. MORNING, GEORGE.

13 MR. LARSON: GOOD MORNING. MR. CHAIRMAN,
14 MEMBERS, YES, GEORGE LARSON REPRESENTING APC-SPI.

15 BEFORE I BEGIN MY COMMENTS, I FEEL
16 IT INCUMBENT ON ME TO TRY TO RESPOND TO A COUPLE
17 OF YOUR PRELIMINARY COMMENTS, MR. CHAIRMAN. MAYBE
18 I'LL EVEN PREFACE THAT I DIDN'T THINK I'D EVER
19 ADDRESS THIS ISSUE BEFORE A RELATIVELY EMPTY ROOM.
20 I KIND OF VIEWED THAT AS A POSITIVE THING, BUT
21 BASED ON YOUR COMMENTS, I GUESS THERE ARE STILL A
22 FEW THINGS THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED ABOUT THE
23 CREDIBILITY OF THE APPROACH APC HAS MADE.

24 THERE NEVER HAS BEEN A QUESTION
25 ABOUT THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF WHY APC DOES

1 NATIONAL RESIN SALES ANALYSIS. THERE'S NEVER BEEN
2 A QUESTION IN THE TESTIMONY, AND I THINK IT WAS
3 REINFORCED BY MR. STORELLI, THAT THE RESERVATIONS
4 WE'VE ALWAYS HAD HAVE BEEN ABOUT THE DEFINITION OF
5 RPPC IN CALIFORNIA AND THE INTERPRETATION OR
6 EXTRAPOLATION OF THAT DATA IN ORDER TO ACCOUNT FOR
7 THE, YOU KNOW, THE MOST ACCURATE USE HERE.

8 AND WITH THOSE PROVISOS, WHICH,
9 QUITE FRANKLY, WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE
10 DISCUSSIONS LAST YEAR, BUT ARE NOW BEING
11 CONSIDERED VERY -- WITH A GREAT DELIBERATION, THAT
12 AMONG THE OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD USE OF
13 ANY DATA POINT, INCLUDING THE NATIONAL RESIN
14 SALES, SEEMS REASONABLE AND LOGICAL.

15 I DON'T THINK WE HAVE OR YOU HAVE
16 THE TOOLS THAT WE HAD LAST YEAR IN TERMS OF BEING
17 ABLE TO GO OUT AND DO AN EXPENSIVE CHARACTERI-
18 ZATION STUDY ON THE DENOMINATOR SIDE. SO WE ARE
19 OF THE MIND THAT ANY ACCURATE INFORMATION THAT GET
20 INPUTTED INTO THIS PROCESS BENEFITS BOTH YOUR
21 MANDATE OF CALCULATION AND OUR DESIRE TO HAVE AS
22 ACCURATE DATA POINTS AS POSSIBLE.

23 TO THE POINT OF DIRECTION OF A
24 LETTER TO THE CHAIRMAN, THIS ISSUE, AGAIN, HAS
25 NOT -- LAST YEAR, AS YOU RECALL, WE WERE MEETING

1 PROBABLY ON A FREQUENCY MAYBE MORE FREQUENTLY THAN
2 MOST PEOPLE WANT TO ON WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY CALLED
3 THE RECYCLING RATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THIS YEAR
4 THE INTERESTED PARTIES, AS THEY'RE NOW LABELED,
5 THERE HASN'T BEEN A LOT OF ACTIVITY. AND, IN
6 FACT, THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS I'M HERE TODAY,
7 WHICH I'LL GET TO MY PRESENTATION NOW ABOUT THE
8 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, WHICH I'M FAMILIAR WITH WHEN
9 I WORKED HERE IS THAT LETTERS TO THE CHAIRMAN
10 WOULD GET CIRCULATED INTERNALLY AND, IF NOTHING
11 ELSE, IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A PAPER REDUCTION ACTION.

12 TO MY COMMENTS, HAVING EXPERIENCED
13 THE DIFFICULT PROCESS OF DEALING WITH THE
14 CALCULATION RATE IN 1995, I APPRECIATE THE COMPLEX
15 TASKS BEING UNDERTAKEN BY YOUR STAFF AND THEIR
16 CONSULTANT -- YOUR CONSULTANT. THE QUESTIONS AND
17 INTERESTS OF APC REGARDING THE 1996 RATE ARE VERY
18 SIMILAR TO THE ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED IN 1995 BY
19 THE RECYCLING RATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE
20 PARTICIPANTS THERETO.

21 WE'D LIKE TO DISCUSS THE -- OUR
22 ISSUES REGARDING THE CURRENT PROCESS TO FOLLOW
23 TODAY'S DISCUSSION. AND RATHER THAN GOING INTO
24 MINUTE DETAIL ABOUT THE ISSUES WE'D LIKE TO RAISE,
25 I'LL SUMMARIZE THEM IN A MOMENT, I'D LIKE TO

1 APPRISE THE BOARD OR THE COMMITTEE THAT I'VE MADE
2 SUCH A REQUEST, THAT WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
3 HAVE A MEETING NEXT WEEK WITH STAFF REGARDING THE
4 PROCESS AS IT IS TODAY. AND WE'D LIKE TO BRING
5 MR. RON PERKINS INTO THAT MEETING ON A CONFERENCE
6 CALL BASIS AS HE IS OUR EXPERT AND WE AGAIN
7 CONTINUE AND IN THIS CASE OFFER HIS EXPERTISE TO
8 RESPOND TO ANY INQUIRIES FROM YOUR STAFF AND
9 CONSULTANT AND ALSO TO MAKE THE POINTS WE FEEL ARE
10 GERMANE TO MOVING AN ACCURATE CALCULATION PROCESS
11 FORWARD.

12 WE ALSO FEEL IT'S AN APPROPRIATE
13 TIME TO REQUEST A MEETING OF THE INTERESTED
14 PARTIES POSSIBLY IN AUGUST. WE FEEL THAT RIGHT
15 NOW, WITH THE PROCESS THE BOARD AND YOUR
16 CONSULTANT ARE UNDERTAKING, THAT WE'RE REACHING
17 SOME DECISION POINTS ON HOW VARIOUS FIELDS OF DATA
18 OR INDIVIDUAL POINTS OF DATA ARE TO BE INTERPRETED
19 AND UTILIZED. AND IT WOULD BE -- IT WOULD SEEM AN
20 IMPORTANT, IT IS TO US, AND IT WOULD SEEM TO BE
21 IMPORTANT TO THOSE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE INTERESTED
22 PARTY GROUP THAT THEY HAVE SOME OPPORTUNITY TO
23 REVIEW AND INPUT INTO THIS PROCESS ALSO.

24 AS TO JUST A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE
25 ISSUES WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE DISCUSSED, WOULD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RAISE IN AN OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH YOUR STAFF,
2 WOULD BE THE TONE AND CONTENT OF THE COVER LETTER.
3 WE FEEL THAT'S VERY CRITICAL TO ELICITING THE
4 HIGHEST LEVEL OF RESPONSE. THE REVISIONS TO THE
5 SURVEY. THE SURVEY OBVIOUSLY TOOK A LOT OF TIME
6 FOR DEVELOPMENT LAST YEAR. WE'D BE INTERESTED IN
7 WHAT CHANGES MIGHT TAKE PLACE AND MAYBE MAKE INPUT
8 TO MAKE THAT PROCESS MORE EFFECTIVE ALSO.

9 SINCE THE SURVEY IS DIRECTED AT
10 PROCESSORS, WE RAISE THE ISSUE THAT WAS RAISED
11 LAST YEAR AS HOW RPPC'S THAT DO NOT GO THROUGH
12 PROCESSORS WILL BE ACCOMMODATED OR ACCOUNTED FOR;
13 IN OTHER WORDS, THOSE THAT GO DIRECTLY TO
14 RECLAIMERS, EXPORTERS, OR END USERS.

15 LIKE TO CONTINUE THE DIALOGUE WHICH
16 IS VERY WELL EMPHASIZED IN THE COMMITTEE AGENDA
17 ITEM DEVELOPED BY STAFF ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF
18 FOLLOW-UP TO THE SURVEY. COMMEND STAFF FOR HAVING
19 NOTED THE FACT THAT THROUGH THE EFFORTS OF THE
20 CONSULTANT LAST YEAR, WE ACHIEVED, YOU ACHIEVED AN
21 84-PERCENT RESPONSE RATE. WE FEEL THAT'S VERY
22 CRITICAL TO TRY TO AT LEAST APPROXIMATE THE EFFORT
23 MADE LAST YEAR TO GET THAT LEVEL OF RESPONSE.

24 I HAD A QUESTION WHICH WE'LL RAISE
25 AS TO UNDER TASK NO. 7 IN THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION REFERS TO
2 CASCADIA'S SOFTWARE. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT IS,
3 BUT WE'D LIKE TO BRING THAT ISSUE UP AND CLARIFY
4 WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

5 AND THEN FINALLY, AS A GENERAL
6 COMMENT, WE'RE ALL CONCERNED ABOUT TIMING ISSUES.
7 I BELIEVE THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR DOC INDICATES THAT
8 THE TARGET DATE WOULD BE OCTOBER. THAT'S A LOT OF
9 WORK TO BE DONE BY THEM. I'D PROVIDE AS A
10 BACKGROUND COMMENT THAT DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
11 IS THE BEST SOURCE OF INPUT DATA AND INFORMATION
12 THAT COULD BE GATHERED. WE COMMEND THE EFFORT TO
13 UTILIZE DOC AND THE INFORMATION THEY HAVE. WE
14 HOPE THAT THE RESOURCES NECESSARY TO MAKE THAT
15 CONTRACT GO, CAN BE DUG OUT OF A BUDGET I KNOW
16 THAT'S PULLED FROM MANY DIRECTIONS.

17 WITH THAT, THAT WOULD CONCLUDE MY
18 COMMENTS. AND I HAVE ADVANCED OUR REQUEST FOR A
19 MEETING WITH STAFF NEXT WEEK AND ADVANCED THE
20 REQUEST FOR A MEETING OF THE INTERESTED PARTIES IN
21 AUGUST TO STAFF ALREADY, SO THEY'RE AWARE OF IT.
22 I JUST WANTED YOU TO BE AWARE OF IT. BE GLAD TO
23 FIELD ANY QUESTIONS.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? I
25 WOULD SAY THAT, OF COURSE, AS THE BOARD HAS IN THE

1 PAST, YOU KNOW, FULL PARTICIPATION AND DIALOGUE
2 WITH THE STAFF BY APC IS WELCOME AND WILL BE
3 ACCOMMODATED. I ALSO WANT TO PUT THE CAVEAT ON IT
4 TO SAY THAT, AS I HAVE IN THE PAST, THAT I THINK
5 IT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT FOR THE CREDIBILITY OF
6 THIS PROCESS THAT THE INTERESTED PARTIES, FORMERLY
7 KNOWN AS THE RECYCLING RATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
8 NEED EQUAL ACCESS TO THE PROCESS. I MEAN I THINK
9 WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL OF THE PARTIES HAVE
10 THE SAME ABILITY TO ADDRESS THE QUESTIONS AND LOOK
11 AT IT AND GIVE US FEEDBACK. AND SO WITH THAT
12 UNDERSTANDING, OF COURSE, WE NEED APC'S FEEDBACK.

13 MR. LARSON: WELL, IF I MAY, JUST A
14 FOLLOW-UP COMMENT. THAT IS THE SPECIFIC REASON
15 WHY, AS WE ARE SUBMITTING COMMENTS AND HAVE
16 QUESTIONS TO POSE TO STAFF AND YOUR CONSULTANT, WE
17 WANT THE OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES TO BE APPRISED
18 OF THAT -- THOSE INQUIRIES. AND WE'D BE
19 INTERESTED WHAT THEIR COMMENTS AND INQUIRIES ARE,
20 AND THE INTERESTED PARTIES FORUM SEEMS TO BE THE
21 BEST FORUM TO BRING THAT OUT INTO THE FULL AND
22 OPEN DISCUSSION YOU ALLUDE TO. THANK YOU.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY
24 MUCH TO STAFF IF THERE'S NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
25 AND THAT COMPLETES THAT ITEM AND THAT ALSO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMPLETES OUR REGULAR AGENDA UNLESS ANYBODY HAS
2 ANYTHING ELSE THEY WANT TO RAISE. THANK YOU VERY.
3 MUCH.

4

5 (END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 11 A.M.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.